Field Evaluation of Almond Rootstocks

Project No.: 07-HORT4-Duncan

Project Leader: Roger Duncan

UCCE Stanislaus County 3800 Cornucopia Way, #A,

Modesto, CA 95358 (209) 525-6800

raduncan@ucdavis.edu

Project Cooperators: John Edstrom, UCCE Farm Advisor, Colusa County;

Joe Connell, UCCE Farm Advisor, Butte County

Introduction:

Several new rootstocks, including many from other countries, have recently become available to plant in California. Some of these are reported to have attributes that nemaguard and lovell do not posses, such as good performance in replant situations or tolerance to oak root fungus (*Armillaria mellea*). These rootstocks need to be tested for compatibility with common California almond varieties and to be challenged under California growing conditions.

Objectives:

- 1. Evaluate the field performance of Nonpareil and Carmel almonds on sixteen rootstocks in an unfumigated, sandy loam, replant location.
- 2. Evaluate alternative rootstocks for tolerance to Armillaria root and crown rot (oak root fungus).
- 3. Evaluate the compatibility and field performance of new, alternative rootstocks on several almond varieties.
- 4. Evaluate the compatibility and field performance of newer almond varieties on Marianna 2624.
- A. Field Performance of Sixteen Rootstocks in an Unfumigated, Sandy Loam, Replant Location.

Project Leader: Roger Duncan, UCCE Farm Advisor; Stanislaus County **Project Cooperators:** Peter & Christene Bacon, Eric Gemperle; growers

Interpretive Summary:

Many locations in the "traditional" almond growing areas of the Northern San Joaquin Valley are now being planted with second and third generation almond orchards. In the sandy loam soils common to these areas, new orchards often struggle with the replant

problem unless they are fumigated first. The replant problem involves parasitic nematode species and an ill-defined microbial component. Even after fumigation, the most commonly planted rootstock (nemaguard) is often colonized by ring nematodes and succumbs to bacterial canker. In this trial, sixteen rootstocks were planted to document their compatibility with Nonpareil and Carmel and to examine their horticultural characteristics and performance in an unfumigated, sandy loam, replant location. In January, 2003, a replicated field trial with Nonpareil and Carmel almond varieties on sixteen rootstocks was planted in a commercial almond orchard. An old almond orchard on Nemaguard rootstock was removed one year prior to replanting. Tree sites were backhoed with an excavator in the fall prior to planting but were not fumigated. The soil type is a Hanford sandy loam. The orchard spacing is 17' x 21' (122 trees per acre) and is flood irrigated. Rootstocks and their parentage are listed in Table 1 below.

Table 1. List of Rootstocks Planted in Almond Replant Trial. Ceres, CA			
Rootstock	Parentage	Origin	
Nemaguard	Peach	USA	
Lovell	Peach	USA	
Guardian SC-17	Peach	Clemson University	
Empyrean #1 (a.k.a. Barrier 1)	Peach x Chinese wild peach	Venice, Italy	
Hansen 536	Peach x almond	UC Davis	
Nickels	Peach x almond	UC Davis	
Cornerstone	Peach x almond	Burchell Nursery	
Paramount (a.k.a. GF 677)	Peach x almond (OP)	France	
Avimag (a.k.a. Cadaman)	(Peach x almond) x wild peach	France & Hungary	
Empyrean #2 (a.k.a. Penta)	P. domestica	Rome, Italy	
Empyrean #101 (a.k.a. Adesoto)	P. insititia	Zaragoza, Spain	
Julior	P. insititia x P. domestica	France	
Krymsk 86 (a.k.a. Kuban 86)	P. persica x P. cerasifera	Russia	
P30-135 (a.k.a. Controller 9)	P. persica x P. salicina	USDA	
Atlas	Peach x almond x plum x apricot	Zaiger Genetics	
Viking	Peach x almond x plum x apricot	Zaiger Genetics	

Nematodes: Soil was sampled in February of 2007 to determine rootstock suitability for hosting pathogenic nematodes. As seen in past trials, most peach / almond hybrid rootstocks, particularly Hansen 536, are excellent hosts for ring nematodes (see Table 2 below). Ring nematodes are also building to dangerous levels on two plum rootstocks, Julior and Empryrean 101 (a.k.a. Adesoto). It is likely that trees on these five rootstocks will become affected by bacterial canker in the next few years. Root lesion nematode numbers are building in the trial, especially on Julior, Empyrean 101 and Avimag (a.k.a. Cadaman). Nematode numbers and incidence of bacterial canker will continue to be monitored in this trial over the next few years.

Tree Growth and Yield. Even though this orchard was not fumigated prior to planting, growth has been good to excellent for most of the rootstocks. The largest trees tend to be on the three American peach / almond hybrid rootstocks (Nickels, Hansen 536, and Cornerstone) as well as on Empyrean #1. Trees on the plum rootstocks (Empyrean #2, Empyrean 101 and Julior) are very small and may not be well suited for flood irrigation in

a sandy loam soil. Trees on Empyrean #2 showed mild signs of incompatibility in 2006 but seemed to grow out of it in 2007. Nonpareil and Carmel both had serious incompatibility issues on the plum rootstock P30-135. These were removed from the trial after the first year and replaced with Krymsk 86 (a.k.a. Kuban 86). All plum rootstocks, particularly Empyrean 101, sucker considerably more than nemaguard.

Table 2. Almond Rootstock Hos	st Suitability to Ring (Mesocriconema xenoplax)	
and Root Lesion (Pratylench	us vulnus) Nematodes on Fourth-Leaf Trees.	
February, 2007.		
	Newskan of Name (adaptive OFO as of as!)	

	Number of Nematodes per 250 cc of soil		
Rootstock	Ring	Root Lesion	
Hansen 536	424	1.6	
Nickels	352	4.8	
Cornerstone	223	6.8	
Julior	288	33.5	
Nemaguard	17	0.4	
Avimag (a.k.a. Cadaman)	42	39.4	
Empyrean #2 (a.k.a. Penta)	6	8.8	
Empyrean #101 (a.k.a. Adesoto)	104	32.8	
Empyrean #1 (a.k.a. Barrier 1)	0	11.6	
Guardian SC-17	0	0	
Krymsk 86 (a.k.a. Kuban 86)	2	1.3	
Lovell	9	3.6	
Paramount (a.k.a. GF 677)	0	10.0	
Atlas	6	9.2	
Viking	0	5.6	

Yields for most rootstocks were excellent for 5th-leaf trees (see Table 3 below). As expected, the most vigorous rootstocks (the largest trees) tended to be the highest yielding because the canopy is still developing in this young orchard. The highest yielding rootstocks for Nonpareil were Empyrean #1 and Hansen 536, respectively. The highest yielding rootstocks for the Carmel variety were Nickels, Atlas, Hansen and Paramount, respectively. Carmel on Atlas had yields similar to the peach / almond hybrid rootstocks even though trees on Atlas are not as large. Previous UC rootstock trials have indicated that Atlas may have relatively high yield efficiency. As trees in this trial get older and fill the space in the orchard, high vigor may become less important than yield efficiency (pounds of nuts per area of tree canopy). In fact, extreme vigor in a mature almond orchard may be undesireable. Lower yields on some less vigorous rootstocks could be compensated for by planting these trees more densly in an orchard. It is unlikely that trees on the plum rootstocks (Julior, Empyrean 101 and Empyrean #2) could achieve yields equivalent to the highest yielding rootstocks in this trial because they are so small, especially for the Carmel variety. Empyrean 101 and Empyrean #2 also had the smallest kernel size for the Nonpareil variety. There was no difference in kernel size among all rootstocks for the Carmel variety. Rootstock influence on double or shriveled kernels was unclear.

Table 3. Yield Parameters of Fifth-leaf Almond Trees on Various Rootstocks in a Non-fumigated Replant Site. 2007.

Bacon / Gemperle Rootstock Trial. Ceres, CA

	Nonpareil		Carmel	
	Yield per Acre*	Weight per 100	Yield per Acre*	Weight per 100
	(lb)	kernels (g)	(lb)	kernels (g)
Empyrean #1	3191 a	110.3 a	3409**	122.0**
Hansen 536	2896 ab	109.9 a	3814 ab	117.0 a
Cornerstone	2777 bc	109.5 a		
Nemaguard	2650 bcd	<mark>107.1 ab</mark>	<mark>2797 c</mark>	<mark>114.2 a</mark>
Nickels	2600 bcd	112.5 a	4261 a	122.2 a
Avimag	2586 bcd	107.6 ab	2947 c	122.6 a
Atlas	2512 cbd	106.8 ab	3827 ab	122.2 a
Lovell	2488 bcd	106.8 ab	2670 c	120.0 a
Paramount	2460**	107.9**	3684 ab	121.6 a
Viking	2408 cd	107.2 ab	2795 c	118.0 a
Guardian	2272 d	109.4 a	3022 bc	118.4 a
Empryean #2	1288 e	101.8 bc	630**	126.2**
Empyrean 101	1032 e	98.9 c		
Julior			747**	121.4**
Krymsk 86**	1143**	109.0 a		
(fourth-leaf)				

^{*}Yield per acre calculation based on a spacing of 17' x 21' (122 trees per acre).

B. Alternative Rootstocks in Butte County: evaluate variety compatibility, field performance, and tolerance of alternative rootstocks for almond to the oak root fungus, *Armillaria mellea*.

Project Leader: J. H. Connell

Project Cooperators: CSU Chico Farm, G&N Creekside Farms, Sam Lewis & Son,

Brouwer Orchards

Objectives:

Evaluate variety compatibility with alternative rootstocks, assess their field performance, and assess the tolerance of these alternative rootstocks to oak root fungus.

Materials and Methods:

'Hiawatha', 'Tetra', 'Ishtara', 'Empyrean 101', and 'Marianna 2624' have been planted in oak root fungus spots in Butte County and are being evaluated for scion compatibility primarily with 'Nonpareil' almond and for tolerance to oak root fungus. Rootstocks 'Ishtara', 'Lovell' peach, 'Marianna 2624', and Advantage® ('Marianna 2624' with a long

^{**}Observational rootstocks are not replicated in the trial. Krymsk 86 is fully replicated but trees are one year younger than the rest of the trial.

'Padre' interstem) planted on a high pH heavy clay soil are compared regarding effects of variety and rootstock on tree size.

Results and Discussion:

'Hiawatha' rootstock is incompatible with almond. 'Tetra' rootstock produces unacceptably weak 'Nonpareil' trees and is susceptible to oak root fungus. The performance of the 'Empyrean 101' rootstock is variable and the jury is still out on this one. Some 'Ishtara' rooted trees have successfully completed their 6th growing season in the CSUC Farm's oak root fungus spot. In terms of scion growth and vigor 'Ishtara' is the most promising of these alternative rootstocks. 'Nonpareil' performance on 'Ishtara' has been encouraging although the trees are still young and some concerns were noted in 2007. In a couple of Sacramento Valley 'Ishtara' plantings, strong north winds in late spring blew over a few 'Ishtara' rooted trees. In an orchard known for virulent oak root fungus that can kill 'Marianna 2624' one 'Ishtara' rooted tree was also killed by the fungus.

Whether the two rootstocks 'Empyrean 101' or 'Ishtara' will hold up against oak root fungus in these plots remains to be seen. They are just now reaching the age when their roots are extensive enough to encounter and acquire the disease from old infected roots in the soil profile.

On heavy soil, the 'Ishtara' rootstock appears to be generally competitive with 'Lovell' in terms of tree size and it produces trees that are more vigorous than trees on 'Marianna 2624'. All three rootstocks held up well on this heavy soil during the extremely wet 2004-2005 winter so differences related to crown or root rots are not yet evident.

C. Alternative Rootstocks for Almonds

Project Leader: John Edstrom

Project Cooperator: Stan Cutter, Nickels Trust

Objectives:

Trial #1 Evaluate the compatibility and performance of 'Hiawatha' and other plum rootstocks for almond and determine the compatibility of new almond varieties on 'Marianna 2624'; and, Trial #2 evaluate promising European and other newer rootstocks and interstem options.

Interpretive Summary:

Trial #1 After eight years of field testing, 'Avalon' and 'Winters' appear compatible with M2624 rootstock while 'Plateau' is not. Some 'Plateau' trees have died while others show gumming at the graft union and stunted growth indicative of compatibility problems. Some growers have experienced problems with 'Sonora' on M2624, but results in this test show that Sonora can be successful on M2624. Nonpareil trees double budded with 'Padre' as an interstem are growing satisfactorily and continue to

show promise for Nonpareil production on M2624 plum rootstock. Yield, tree growth and graft union health of Nonpareil on Hiawatha are acceptable. However, some trees are leaning, indicating weak anchorage for Hiawatha rootstock. Surprisingly, some Nonpareil trees on M2624 are still alive. Notable this season is the higher production of 'Winters' on Marianna plum root (2626 lbs/acre) compared to other varieties on M2624.

Variety/rootstock	Yield lbs/ac	Kernel/oz	Growth Rating	<u>Scale</u>
Sonora M2624	2,200	25	4.6	1= very poor 2 = poor
Non/Padre M2624	1,827	26	4.4	3= weak, unacceptable 4= mod vigor
Avalon M2624	1,655	27	4.8	5= mod good vigor D= dead trees
Mission M2624	1,730	27	4.9	
Plateau M2624	1,617	23	3.1 D	
Winters M2624	2,626	28	4.4	
Nonpareil M2624	699	27	2.8	
Non Hiawatha	2,273	24	4.1	
Butte Hiawatha	1,323	32	3.9	
Non Deep Purple	-	-	all dead	
Mission D Purple	-	-	all dead	

planted 2000 @ 12' x 22' 165 trees/acre

The performance of various other variety/rootstock combinations is presented in the following table. Yield figures from this small trial seem to show a yield advantage to hybrid rootstocks over Lovell peach for 2007. This drip-irrigated block experienced an abnormally dry rootzone outside the drip wetted zone due to extremely low rainfall. Pre bloom irrigation could only wet the limited drip zone and not the normally wet winter expanded rootzone. Possibly, the more extensive/vigorous hybrid root systems proved an advantage under these conditions. In all previous seasons, under normal winter precipitation of 18 inches, no yield advantage to hybrids has been found.

Variety/rootstock	Yield lbs/ac	Kernels/oz
Butte/Hansen PA hybrid	2,037	30
Butte/GF 677 PA hybrid	2,385	31
Butte/Lovell	1,746	30
Nonpareil/GF 677 PA hybrid	2,533	24
Nonpareil/Hansen PA hybrid	2,609	25
Nonpareil Lovell	1,847	27
Kochi/Lovell	1,386	25
Durango/Lovell	1,820	25
Plantad 2001 @ 19' v 22'		

Planted 2001 @ 18' x 22' 110 trees/acre

Trial #2. The preliminary small scale test of eight alternative rootstocks of European origin planted in 2003 shows highly variable performance. The top four most promising rootstocks, as listed below, were selected for the larger trial. Three selections, AC 952UC1, Pumiselect, and Penta CM7 and have failed completely in this trial.

Trunk Size (cm circ)	
41.7	
42.3	
39.8	
40.8	3 dead
35.8	1 dead
-	all dead
-	all dead
-	all dead
	41.7 42.3 39.8 40.8 35.8

In the 2006 planting, using full rows of each rootstock, Nonpareil scions are growing well on all roots including those with Padre interstems on M2624 or M-40 plum. However, growers must take extra care to prune out competing Padre water sprout growth that can outgrow and overtake the Nonpareil scion. Trunk measurements taken in October show little difference in tree size between the eight rootstocks under

evaluation with Nonpareil. The rootstocks include; Padre interstem/M2624, Padre interstem/M-40, Krymsk 86, Empyrean, Hiawatha, Ishtara, Lovell and Nickels P/A hybrid.

