DISCOVERY OF STILBENE CHEMISTRIES FOR VARROA MITE MANAGEMENT

Troy D. Anderson, Philene D. Vu, and Lacey J. Jenson, Department of Entomology and Fralin Life Science Institute, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA

INTRODUCTION

The varoa mite is a primary driver for the periodical losses of bee colonies. The mite requires bees for food and reproduction and, in turn, elicits physiological deficiencies and disease transmission that compromise the health of bee colonies. The mite nervous system is a target site for existing carcicide chemistrise. However, these acarcides not only have adverse health effects on bees, but resistance to these chemistries limits their use to reduce mite infestations and disease transmission in bee colonies. Voltage-gated chloride channels are involved the maintenance of nerve and muscle excitability in arthropod pests, which suggests these channels might be exploited as target sites for acarcide chemistries. Apistan® (the pyrethroid taufluvalinate), Checkmile+* (the organophosphate coumaphos), and Apivar[®] (the foramidine amitraz) are among several control products for management of varoa mites (Martel et al. 2007). The effectiveness of these chemistries has diminished as a result of the increasing incidence of either target-site or metabolic detuffication resistance in varoa mite populations, worldwide (González-Caberra et al. 2013; Dimtryjuk et al. 2014). Here, we report a toxicological analysis of a natural stilbene product and related analogs against acaricide-susceptible and -resistant varoa mite populations. The specific aims of this study were: 1) to determine the field efficacy of stilbene chemistries to acaricide-susceptible and -resistant varoa mite populations and 2) to determine the mechanisms of resistance in vareo coptible and -resistant varoa mite populations.

EXPERIMENTAL APPROACH AND RESULTS

TOXICITY AND FIELD EFFICACY BIOASSAYS OF STANDARD USE AND STILBENE ACARICIDES

- Honey bee colonies are maintained at the Virginia Tech. Nurse bees (6-10 d old adults) were collected for each toxicity and field efficacy bioassay. Technical grade tau-fluvalinate, coumaphos, and amitraz were purchased from ChemService Inc. (West Chester, PA). 4,4disothiocyanatostilbene-2,2-disulforio acid (DIDS), 2-methoxystilbene, and 3,5-dimethoxystilbene were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO). (E)-2-(4-methoxystyryl)phenol was provided by the University of Florida. Apistan®, CheckMite+[™], and Apivar® strips were purchased from Dadant & Sons Beekeping Equipment (Chatham, VA).
- Field efficacy of tau-fluvalinate, coumaphos, amitraz, and stilbenes was assessed with acaride-susceptible and -resistant varroa mite populations using contact exposure bioassays. Bioassay areas consisted of a 750-nn plastic container fastened to a wood platform with a removable sticky board. For each bioassay, ca. 300 honey bees were collected from the brood frame(s) of a hive. Bioassay arenas contained a 3 x 3 cm tab impregnated with tau-fluvalinate (Apistan[®], 10.2% ai), coumaphos (CheckMite+[™], 10.0% or amitraz (Apivar[®], 3.3% ai) or treated with the stilbenes (10% ai). Bioassay arenas were transported to the laboratory and maintained in a dark environmental chamber at 32 °C to measure the time-dependent efficacy of each acaricide. Number of varoa mites on the sticky boards were counted after a 3-and 6-h acaricide exposure period and the remaining varoa mites tached to the honey bees were counted after a 3-mit enal warb.

GENERAL ESTERASE, GLUTATHIONE S-TRANSFERASE, CYTOCHROME P450 MONOOXYGENASE, and AChE BIOASSAYS

Cytochrome P450 monooxygenase, esterase, and glutathione S-transferase enzyme activities were measured in varroa mites from 20 honey bee colonies using the methods described by Anderson and Zhu (2004) and Jin-Clark et al. (2008). Acetylcholinesterase (AChE) activity was measured in varroa mites using the methods of Adelman et al. (2012). Total protein was measured according to the method of Smith et al. (1985). Enzyme and protein measurements were performed on a SpectraMax M2 multimode microplate reader (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA).



Figure 1. Field efficacy bioassays of *tau*-fluvalinate (Apistan⁹), coumaphos (CheckMite⁺¹), and amitraz (Apivar⁶) and detoxification enzyme activities for carcitide-succeptible and -resistant varior amite populations. Acaridide efficacy was assessed for the varior amite populations after a 3- and 6-h exposure period. Varioa mites remaining on the honey bees were removed after a 3-min ethanol wash. Acaricide efficacy < 60% suggests the possibility of resistance after a 6-h exposure period. Each test was considered valid based on the presence of > 5 varioa mites per 100 honey bees (< 0.05, n = 40). General estress activity was measured using o-naphthyl acetate and β-naphthyl acetate as substrates. Cilcutatione 5transferase activity was measured using 1-chloro-2,4-dinitrobenzoic acid as a substrate. Cytochrome P450 monoxygenase activity was measured using 7-ethoxycouramin as a substrate. Enzyme activities are presented as the mean ± standard error (*P* < 0.05, n = 20).





Figure 3. Field efficacy bioassays of DIDS and stilbene analogs against *tau*-fluvalinate. (Apistan®) and coumaphos. (CheckMite+^m) resistant varroa mite populations. Stilbene (10.0% ai) efficacy was assessed for the *tau*-fluvalinate. (AP, Apistan®) and coumaphos. (CM, CheckMite+^m) resistant varroa mite populations after a 3- and 6-h exposure period. Varroa mites remaining on the honey bees were removed after a 3-mine thanol wash. Stilbene efficacy <60% suggests the possibility of tolerance after a 6-h exposure period. Each test was considered valid based on the presence of > 5 varroa mites per 100 honey bees. Varroa mite populations were selected based on their resistance to *tau*-fluvalinate and coumaphos (Fig. 1, *P* < 0.05, *n* = 10).

SUMMARY AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

- Apistan® (the tau-fluvalinate pyrethroid), Checkmite+[™] (the organophosphate coumaphos), and Apivar® (the foramidine amitraz) were used as acaricide standards. Both tau-fluvalinate and coumaphos have lower field efficacy than amitraz to the tested varoa mite populations. This suggests that the varoa mites are resistant to tau-fluvalinate and coumaphos based on the percent efficacies being less than 60% (Fig. 1).
- Acute toxicity of the standard-use acaricides tau-fluvalinate, coumaphos, and amitraz is greater than that of DIDS to acaricidesusceptible varroa mites (Table 1). Coumaphos-oxon has 15-fold less acute toxicity to coumaphos-resistant varoa mites compared to coumaphos-susceptible varroa mites (Fig. 2). Coumaphos-oxon had 53-fold less anticholinesterase activity to coumaphos-resistant varroa mites compared the coumaphos-susceptible varroa mites (Fig. 2).
- General esterases, glutathione S-transferases, and cytochrome P450 monoxygenases exhibit differential activities across the coumaphos-resistant varoa mite populations (Fig. 2); however, the differences in enzyme activities may not confer metabolic detoxification resistance in the varoa mite populations with reduced coumaphos field efficacy.
- Coumaphos-resistant varroa mite populations appear to be tolerant to the stilbenes 2-methoxystilbene (MOSB), 3,5dimethoxystilbene (DMOS), and (E)-2-(4-methoxystyrl)phenol (MOBP) with field-efficacy percentages less than 60% (Fig. 3). However, the stilbene DIDS exhibited field efficacy higher than 60% against the coumaphos-resistant varroa mite populations compared to coumaphos (Fig. 3). These data suggest that DIDS might serve as a candidate chemistry for the development of alternative acaricides for coumaphos-resistant varroa mite populations (Fig. 3).
- Current research activities are focused on the acaricide-resistance monitoring and management, identification of metabolic detoxification and target-site resistance mechanisms, and discovery of alternative chemistries with acaricidal activity for the management of varroa mite populations and the protection of honey bee colony health.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS: We thank Almond Board of California for the financial resources to support this project. In addition, we thank Nicholas Larson, Scott O'Neal, Jon Williams, Kurt Langberg, and Bryant Gabriel for their technical assistance.

LITERATURE CITED

 Adelman, Z. N., et al., 2011. PLoS One 6(10): e26928
 Jin-Clark et al., 2008. Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 54:645-652

 Anderson and Zhu, 2004. Pestic. Biochem. Physiol. 80:054-664
 Martel, A.-C., et al., 2007. Apidologie 38(6): 534-544

 Minitylik, M., et al., 2014. Exp Appl Acard (26(4): 498-510
 Smith et al., 1985. Analytic. Biochem. 150: 768-85

