Development of Leaf Sampling Methods and Nutrient budget for Almond

Saiful Muhammad, Sebastian Saa, Patrick Brown

UC Davis, Department of Plant Sciences, One Shields Ave, Davis, CA 95616; phbrown@ucdavis.edu

Development of Sampling Methods

Objectives

- Predict July leaf N % using an April sampling.
- Develop a leaf sampling protocol representative of CA almond orchards.

Methods

- Four Representative CA almond orchards sampled from 2008 to 2010.
- Modeling prediction and spatial analysis during 2011.
- Validation of the results selecting six new orchards in 2012.

Changes in leaf nutrient status with season and site. Development of new orchard sampling strategies.



Figure 1. Typical nutrient behavior throughout 2008, 2009, and 2010 season in leaves from non-fruiting spurs (NF), spurs with 1 fruit (F1), and spurs with 2 fruits (F2). The graphs show data collected from the Arbuckle orchard.

New Orchard Sampling Criteria

For each orchard/block or sub-block that you wish individual information on, do the following:

- Sample all the leaves of 5-8 non-fruiting well-exposed spurs per tree at approximately 43+/-6 days after full bloom when the majority of leaves on non-fruiting spurs have reached full size. In the majority of Californian orchards this corresponds to mid-April.
- Collect leaves from 18-28 trees per orchard. Combine all leaves in a single bag for submission to reputable laboratory. EACH SAMPLED TREE MUST BE AT LEAST 30 YARDS APART. A minimum of 100 leaves per sample bag is required.
 Send the samples to the lab and ask for a FULL NUTRIENT ANALYSIS (N, P, K, B, Ca, Zn, Cu, Fe, Mg, Mn, S) and application of UCD-ESP program.

Predicting the % of trees in an orchard that will be above of a standard critical value.

Data collected form 2008-2012 was used to characterize 'typical' variability in leaf nutrient concentrations in April and in July. This was then used to help predict the relationship between mean nutrient status and the range.

This data can be used to optimize production and minimize the potential for overfertilization.

Table 1. Observed % of trees above 2.2 % of N in July against the predicted % of trees using a <u>July</u> sampling.

Table 2. Observed % of trees above 2.2 % of N in July against the predicted % of trees using an <u>April</u> sampling.

	Observed %	Predicted % above	Observed %	Predicted % above
Site	above 2.2 in	2.2 in July using July	above 2.2 in	2.2 in July using April
	July	N Sampling	July	Sampling
1	32	41	32	49
2	32	31	32	90
3	90	81	90	85
4	80	88	80	98
5	82	93	82	95



Figure 2. 2012 validation plot. Application of newly developed leaf nutrient prediction algorithm to 6 novel validation sites. Plot contrasts model predicted nutrient concentrations against measured concentrations.

Conclusions

- A model to predict July nitrogen concentration and the percentage of trees above a certain July critical value have been generated, and effectively validated.
- A new sampling method has been generated and effectively validated.
- The model is available online at http://ucanr.edu/sites/scri/Crop_Nutrient_Status_and_Demand_Patrick_Brown/ and an Smartphone app will be lunched in 2013.
- Researchers invite growers, specialists, and laboratories to test the current model



and send their feedback to phbrown@ucdavis.edu Or sssaasilva@ucdavis.edu.

Development of Nutrient Budget

Objectives

- Develop Nutrient demand curves for almond
- Determine nutrient use efficiencies of N and K fertilizer sources
- Demonstrate yield response of almond to N and K fertilizer rates and sources

Methods

- Fertigation trail with four N and three K rates
- Two N and three K sources
- Two irrigation Systems: Fan Jet and Drip
- Leaf and nut samples collected five times during the season 2008-2012



Table 3. Mean kernel yield of Nonpareil almond in 2011. Means in same irrigation type not followed by the same letter are significantly different.

Yield Response to Nitrogen Application

		N UA	AN 32		N CAN 17				
Irrigation	125	200	275	350	125	200	275	350	
	3,732	4,229	4,696	4,775	3,564	4,365	4,833	4,969	
Drip	C	b	a	a	C	b	a	a	
	3,744	4,048	4,480	4,406	3,746	4,161	4,420	4,361	

Fan Jet	C	b	a	a	C	b	a	a

Table 4. Mean kernel yield of Monterey almond in 2011. For N rates means not followed by the same letter within irrigation type are significantly different. For N source means with star (*) at the same rate of N are significantly different.

Innica	otion		N U.	AN 32		N CAN 17				
Imgat	ation	125	200	275	350	125	200	275	350	
D		3,096	3,492	4,343	4,047	2,580	2,973	3,425	3,885	
Dr	Jub	b *	b	a *	a	d *	С	b *	a	
Ean	Lat	2,838	3,539	3,674	3,709	2,941	3,290	3,631	3,494	
гап	rall Jet	b	a	a	a	С	b	a	ab	

Table 5. Mean kernel yield (lb/ac) of Nonpareil almond in 2011 for potassium rates and sources. No significant differences in kernel yield was found for K rates and sources

Irrigation	Potassi	ium Rate (F	K lb/ac)	Pot	Potassium Source			
Ingation	100	200	300	SOP+KTS	SOP	KCl		
Drip	4700	4643	4774	4723	4791	4804		
Fan Jet	4382	4480	4498	4471	4362	4348		



Conclusions

- 1000lb kernel removes from 55 (at a leaf N of 2.0 in July)-74lb N (at a leaf N of 2.6 in July) with mean N 68lb at 2.4% leaf N concentration.
 P and K removal was 8.5lb and 75lb for 1000lb kernel yield
- 80% of N, 75% of P and K accumulates in the fruit before 119 DAFB (mid June in 2011).
- After tree is mature the greatest N removal from the orchard is in the harvested fruits
- In this trial a N rate of 275lb/ac maximized yield (4,700 lb acre) and there
 was no benefit from N application in excess of this value.
- Nonpareil's yield response to UAN 32 and CAN 17 was same while Monterey response was high with UAN 32 as N source
- A cumulative Nutrient Use Efficiency (N removed in harvest crop/N applied) of over 80% was observed for N rate 275lb/ac rate.











