Monitoring the Adult Navel Orangeworm Moths with Pheromone and Host-Plant Volatiles Ring T Cardé, Brad Higbee¹ and Robbie Girling²

Department of Entomology, University of California, Riverside CA, 92521 USA

^{1.} Paramount Farms. ^{2.} University of Southampton (U.K.)

Objectives:

1. Compare in a wind-tunnel assay the in-flight and close-range male orientation and courtship behaviors evoked by pointsource formulations of pheromone, including dispensers prepared for evaluation in the field. Determine which blend of components evokes the highest proportion of source finding. 2. Use the wind tunnel-assay to determine why some batches of synthetic pheromone and formulated lures are more attractive than others. In collaboration with Brad Higbee, field test formulated dispensers of pheromone for efficacy. 3. Determine the pattern of pheromone dispersal, with particular attention to vertical movement of odor plumes, using the almond orchard as a model habitat. These measurements will aid in determining the optimal height in the canopy for placement of monitoring traps and puffers. 4. Develop a diagnostic behavioral bioassay to evaluate the attractiveness of host plant volatiles to mated females. **Interpretive Summary:** We have two overarching goals: 1) to improve the efficacy of mating disruption in the navel orangeworm moth, Amyelois transitella, referred to as "NOW." 2) To aid in the development of a highly attractive lures that would be useful as a monitoring tool in pest management programs. To these ends we have: 1) Optimized the composition and ratio of components for maximal male attraction in a wind tunnel using a simple, filter-paper dispenser. 2) Shown that four expected breakdown products of the main aldehyde pheromone component do not affect attraction. 3) Tested a number of lures formulated to provide longevity in the field and competitiveness with female-baits. 4) Established the patterns of pheromone dispersal in almond orchards using visual tracers and measured wind flow and turbulence patterns with a sonic 3-D anemometer. One of our goals has been to verify which of the 9 published compounds (as well as others newly discovered but unpublished) mediate attraction and courtship. We have now defined an optimal blend of 4 components (Kanno et al. 2010; Kuenen et al. 2010). Such information is a crucial step in devising highly attractive lures for monitoring traps. Furthermore, it is widely thought that the complete pheromone blend should be the most efficacious mixture for mating disruption (Minks and Cardé 1988, Cardé and Minks) 1995; Cardé 2007).

2. We are working with Walter Leal and Jocelyn Millar to identify contaminants and breakdown products that may compromise the lure efficacy. So far four possible contaminants have been evaluated for their possible suppressive effect on attraction. These compounds were prepared and supplied courtesy of Bedoukian Research. Adding any of the three geometrical isomers of the aldehyde pheromone component (the 11Z, 13E, the 11E, 13Z, and the 11E, 13E) pheromone component to the complete blend did not diminish attractiveness. Work with many other moth species often has found that geometrical isomers of monounsaturated or doubly unsaturated pheromones are inhibitory to attraction, unlike NOW. Similarly, adding the acid of the aldehyde to the 4-component blend also did not affect attractiveness. This is very helpful to lure formulation, in that such breakdown products would be expected. A second approach has been to compare lures using the principal pheromone component (the aldehyde) from different sources, or following purification, while holding the other 3 components constant. Clearly batches have differed somewhat differ in their attractively, but the chemical identity of the antagonist(s) remains unclear. Work on lures for the field has relied on membrane dispensers prepared by Suterra. These have been evaluated in the field by Brad Higbee and in our wind tunnel. In brief, the new Suterra membrane formulation is very effective is the field for 4-5 weeks, although it is not quite as attractive as females. As well, the 4component formulation outperformed the 3-component blend.





3. Nighttime observations in almond orchards found that

Fig.1. Circular standard deviation of the mean wind direction, in degrees, recorded every 30 seconds over a 5 minute period at 0300 PST within (<5 m) and above (>5 m) the canopy of an almond orchard. For each 30 second time point only the positive standard deviation is represented. Black lines represent measurements taken on day block 1, dark grey day block 2 and light grey day block 3. High standard deviations indicate high levels of mechanical odor mixing (turbulent diffusion); this is most evident in the middle of the tree canopy (2.99, 3.91 and 4.82 m above ground level). Therefore puffers placed at canopy level should provide maximal coverage of disruptant.

Results and Discussion:

1. Upwind flight along the pheromone plume and landing on the odor source required the simultaneous presence of two components, (11*Z*,13*Z*)-hexadecadienal, (3*Z*,6*Z*,9*Z*,12*Z*,15*Z*) tricosapentaene, and the addition of either (11Z, 13Z)hexadecadien-1-ol or (11Z,13E)-hexadecadien-1-ol. A mixture of all 4 components produced the highest levels of rapid source contact. In wind-tunnel assays, males did not distinguish among a wide range of ratios of any of the three components added to (11Z, 13Z)-hexadecadienal. Dosages of 10 and 100 ng of the 4-component blend produced higher levels of source location than dosages of 1 and 1000 ng (Kanno et al. 2010; Kuenen et al. 2010). The broad range of component ratios that evoke attraction will simplify some aspects of development of a field lure. It also may be very important to use the complete blend as a disruptant because in one documented case in Japan with a tea pest, use of a partial blend caused eventual resistance to mating disruption; efficacy was restored when the complete blend was used.

pheromone plumes have considerable vertical movement. We documented this dispersal pattern using high resolution a 3-D with a sonic anemometer at 6 heights from 2.08 to 6.65 meters above the ground level (2 meters above the canopy), monitoring the speed, turbulence and direction of airflow for 5 minutes at each height every 3 hours over 4 days. We found a net upflow of air during the time when NOW mate (late night to dawn) and substantial turbulent mixing within the canopy (Fig. 1).

One practical implication of these observations for mating disruption is that when pheromone puffers are deployed at height of the top of the orchard canopy, as is current practice, much of their output may be carried upwards beyond the canopy. This suggests that much of the output of puffers so deployed would be unavailable to disrupt NOW mate finding—that is it would be "wasted." We suggest that puffers would be just as efficacious if deployed and mid or lower canopy levels. As a result of these observations and his own work, Brad Higbee has evaluated male capture in female-baited traps positioned at several canopy heights and the effect of the height of puffers on the efficacy of mating disruption.

Research Effort Recent Publications:

Girling, R.D. and R.T Cardé. 2006. Analysis of the courtship behavior of the navel orangeworm, *Amyelois transitella* (Walker) (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae), with a commentary on methods for the analysis of sequences of behavioral transitions. J. Insect Behav. 19:497-520. Kanno, H., L.P.S. Kuenen, K.A. Klingler, J.G. Millar and R.T. Cardé. 2010. Attractiveness of a four-component pheromone blend to male navel orangeworm moths. J. Chem. Ecol. 36:584-591.

Wang, H.-L., C.-H. Zhao, J. G. Millar, R.T. Cardé and C. Löfstedt. 2010. Biosynthesis of unusual moth pheromone components involves two distinctly different pathways in the navel orangeworm, *Amyelois transitella*. J. Chem. Ecol. 36:535-547.

References Cited:

Cardé, R.T. 2007. Using pheromones to disrupt mating of moth pests. In: *Perspectives in Ecological Theory and Integrated Pest Management*, M. Kogan and P. Jepson (eds.)
Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 122-169.
Cardé, R.T. and A.K. Minks. 1995. Control of moth pests by mating disruption: successes and constraints. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 40:559-585.
Kanno, H., L.P.S. Kuenen, K.A. Klingler, J.G. Millar and R.T. Cardé. 2010. Attractiveness of a four-component pheromone blend to male navel orangeworm moths. J. Chem. Ecol. 36:584-591.

Kuenen, L.P.S., J.S. McElfresh and J.G. Millar. 2010. Identification of critical secondary components of the sex pheromone of the navel orangeworm, (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). J. Econ. Entomol. 103:314-330.

Leal, W.S., A.L. Parra-Pedrazzoli, K.-E. Kaissling, T.L. Morgan, F.G. Zalom, D.J. Pesak, E.A. Dundulis, C.S. Burks and B.S. Higbee. 2005. Unusual pheromone chemistry in the navel orangeworm: novel sex attractants and a behavioral antagonist. Naturwissenschaften 92: 139-146.
Minks, A.K. and R.T. Cardé. 1988. Disruption of pheromone communication in moths: is the natural blend really most efficacious? Entomol. Exp. Appl. 49:25-36.

4. We are now using large (1.8 m high by 1.5 wide) cage housed in an environmental room to assay the attraction of mated NOW females to natural oviposition substrates (e.g., almond meal) and also synthetic host compounds supplied by John Beck. This method shows that females orient to host odors (compared to unbaited controls). Blends supplied by John Beck are being tested for attractivity.

Acknowledgments– Jocelyn Millar (UCR) provided most of the test chemicals. Brad Higbee (Paramount Farms) conducted field trapping tests. Work on the pattern of pheromone dispersal was a joint with Brad Higbee and Robbie Girling (Southampton University). Additional collaborators include Walter Leal (UC Davis), Bedoukian Research, Tom Larsen (Suterra), and John Beck (USDA-ARS). Equal support from the California Pistachio Commission is gratefully acknowledged.