

Integration of Tree Spacing, Rootstock Selection & Pruning for Efficient Almond Production **Roger Duncan, UC Cooperative Extension, Stanislaus County**

Multifactorial Trial •2 varieties Nonpareil & Carmel •2 Rootstocks •Nemaguard & Hansen •4 Spacings •22' x 22', 18' x 22', 14' x 22', 10' x 22' •4 Pruning strategies

l th									
	Influence of Tree Spacing on Cumulative Yield								
•Cum Nema	of 11 th Leaf Nonpareil & 10 th Leaf Carmel*								
spacii spacii	Nonpareil on Hansen	Nonpareil on Nemaguard	Carmel on Hansen	Carmel on Nemaguard					
is hig	20,505	21,741	18,530	20,317	22' x 22'				
•Yield trees)	21,129	22,048	19,072	21,403	18' x 22'				
•Carm the 10	20,725	23,539	20,403	22,692	14' x 22'				
•Wide more	20,319	22,903	19,157	24,215	10' x 22'				
closel	variety	eaf for either v	t collected 3rd I	*Yield data not					

Pruning Strategies:

•Standard trained, standard pruned •3 scaffolds, annual pruning, open centers Standard trained, unpruned

•Trained with 3 scaffolds and open centers Unpruned after 2nd dormant season

Minimal training & pruning

•Trained with 4-6 scaffolds & open centers; maximum of 3 cuts per tree annually

Untrained, unpruned

•No scaffold selection, no annual pruning

Conclusions for Tree Spacing nrough 11th leaf:

ulative yield for Carmel on aguard is highest at 10' x 22' ng and lowest for 22' x 22' ng. Nonpareil on nemaguard hest at 14' x 22' spacing.

for Nonpareil on Hansen (big is similar at all tree spacings

nel kernel size was smaller at D' x 22' spacing

ely spaced trees had 2.5 times mummies per acre than ly planted trees

Training

Spa



The Effect of Pruning, Tree Spacing & Rootstock on Nonpareil (11th leaf) & Carmel (10th leaf) Yield, Kernel Size and Mummies. 2010

	Yield		Kernels		Mummies		
	(lb / acre)		per ounce		/ acre*		
Training / Pruning	Nonpareil	Carmel	Nonpareil	Carmel			
Standard training & annual pruning	3203 a	3359 b	20.7 a	22.7 a	9,268		
Trained two years, then unpruned	3457 a	3736 a	21.2 a	23.1 a	8,547		
Multiple scaffolds and 3 pruning cuts annually	3241 a	3508 ab	20.7 a	22.3 a	10,506		
Untrained & unpruned	3395 a	3785 a	21.0 a	22.9 a	6,545		
Spacing							
10' x 22'	3397 a	3742 a	21.1 a	24.0 b	4,787		
14' x 22'	3379 a	3821 a	21.1 a	22.5 ab	7,116		
18' x 22'	3335 a	3529 ab	20.7 a	22.3 a	11,382		
22' x 22'	3186 a	3297 b	20.7 a	22.9 ab	11,581		
Rootstock							
Hansen	3287 a	3268 b	24.4 a	22.7 a	9,666		
Nemaguard	3324 a	3925 a	24.0 a	22.8 a	11,016		
*Mummies counted on Nonpareil trees January 15, 2010							

The initial	of 11 th Leaf Nonpareil & 10 th Leaf Carmel*.						
	Nonpareil on Nemaguard	il onNonpareil onCarmel onCarmel onardHansenNemaguardHansen		take de			
3 scaffolds; annual pruning	21,812	20,348	21,687	17,289	С		
3 scaffolds; delayed non- pruning	22,995	21,307	22,212	19,683	Standard tra		
Multiple scaffolds, three cuts annually	22,191	19,646	21,855	19,520	Standard tra		
No scaffold selection; no pruning	23,233	21,346	22,874	20,667	10' x 22'		

*Yield data not collected 3rd leaf for either variety



Trained to 3 scaffolds

Minimally trained

Untrained

Third dormant pruning January, 2003



Pruned for first 2 years, unpruned after that



Minimally trained, minimally pruned Maximum of 3 cuts



Untrained, unpruned

trial.



Conclusions on Pruning:

 Conventionally trained and pruned trees tend to have the lowest yields so far in this

Pruning did not affect kernel size

 Unpruned trees did not have more mummies in January than trees pruned annually.

 Using the average grower price of almonds over the past ten years annual pruning would have reduced net income by over \$4000 per acre so far, including pruning costs and lower cumulative yields.

 Trees on Hansen are yielding less than trees on Nemaguard, probably due to less favorable soil conditions (heavy soil).