Managed Groundwater Recharge: Hydrologic Regime Change and Nitrogen Dynamics Hannah Waterhouse, Helen Dahlke, and William R. Horwath

BACKGROUND

Groundwater overdraft is an increasing problem, especially during drought when surface water allocations are reduced. Application of flood flow to agricultural lands could recharge underlying aquifers and reduce flood damage to downstream areas. **However, uncertainties remain including the timing of groundwater recharge and the risk of nitrate (NO₃⁻) leaching from cropping systems.** Denitrification represents a permanent sink of NO₃⁻ by converting it to N₂O and N₂ gas. Could denitrification attenuate NO₃⁻ on its path through the deep soil?



DISCUSSION

- Groundwater banking temporarily changes the hydrologic regime of a cropping system and has the potential to affect nitrogen dynamics
- Ammonification (the conversion of organic N to inorganic NH₄⁺) and denitrification are dependent on water content, electron donors (DOC, Iron), and substrate (NO₃⁻).
- The presence of DOC and iron could indicate the potential for microorganisms to use them to convert NO₃⁻ to gaseous



RESEARCH QUESTIONS

DOC

Iron

Silt

Clay

Currently Available

Available Iron

 $R^2 = 0.1531$

Potentially Microbially

Significance Values: 0 (*), 0.001 (**)**

- 1. How will groundwater banking affect NO₃⁻ loading to the underlying aquifer in almond cropping systems? How does this compare to other cropping systems?
- 2. How does groundwater banking influence N dynamics? Are N transformations occurring in the deep vadose zone?
- 3. What soil factors are influencing the fate of NO₃⁻ during groundwater banking?

forms

A significant positive relationship between DOC and NO₃⁻ are not indicative of denitrification - could this indicate ammonification in the deep vadose zone?
Almonds have mean DOC levels in the deep vadose zone compared with tomatoes (data not shown) which could influence nitrogen transformations at depth, implying that organic inputs at the surface could be affecting deep vadose zone processes

CONCLUSIONS

- Almonds have higher DOC levels at depth which could be the reason for the shift in differences of NO_3^- between cropping systems
- Significant interaction between soil hydrologic class and cropping system indicates a need to look at both for choosing appropriate sites for groundwater banking

PREVIOUS RESULTS

Mean Nitrate at Varying Depths



- Grapes had the lowest mean NO_3^- concentrations compared to almonds and grapes, with no difference between almonds and tomatoes in the entire 9 meter profile and within the top 4 meters.
- The relationship between cropping system changed below 4 meters, with tomatoes having the highest NO₃⁻

Cores down to 30 ft were analyzed for dissolved organic carbon (DOC), Iron, and NO₃⁻ to determine what soil factors influence N dynamics

2.72 x 10⁻¹

-3.497 x 10⁻¹

4.358 x 10⁻²

-1.99 x 10⁻¹

3.872 x 10⁻¹

0.001440 **

0.108760

0.827962

0.250041

0.000183 ***

- DOC and clay are significantly, positively correlated with NO₃presence, with a slightly stronger effect from clay as determined by the standardized coefficient estimates
- Currently available Iron (II) is negatively correlated with NO₃⁻, however the relationship is not significant



 Groundwater banking temporarily changes the hydrologic regime of a cropping system and may have an impact on nitrogen dynamics

NEXT STEPS

 Conduct denitrification potential and mineralization potential assays at varying moisture contents reflective of normal irrigation practices as well as under groundwater banking management
 DNA analysis of microbial community in the deep vadose zone to see potential mediating pathways for N transformation
 Isotopic analysis of ¹⁵N and ¹⁸O for evidence of denitrification





REFERENCES AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
Bachand, Philip A. M., Sujoy B. Roy, Joe Choperena, Don Cameron, and William R. Horwath. "Implications of Using On-Farm Flood Flow Capture To Recharge Groundwater and Mitigate Flood Risks Along the Kings River, CA." *Enviromental Science & Technology Environ. Sci. Technol.* 48.23 (2014): 13601-3609.
CDWR, 2009
Thank you to the Almond Board, Matthew Reed, Feifan Yang, and Timothy Doane