Applying an Improved Heat Ratio Method Sap Flow Sensor to Almonds to Test Variation in Water Use between Nonpareil and Pollinizers

A new method for measuring sap flow

Almonds have high rates of sap flow (water flow in the stem), thus sap flow meters require technical and algorithmic improvement to function for almonds. Through collaboration with U. Sydney researcher Tom Buckley a new sap flow method (DRM) has been developed for almonds. When compared to the past methods (HRM and CHPM), the new method was better related to flow measured in a sand filled PVC pipe (a proof of concept).



Stem Water Content

To determine the role of stem water storage in sap flow measurements, moisture content sensors were place into the trunks of 3 trees. Water content remained relatively stable throughout the season, **meaning sap flow calibrations and calculations are reliable.**

```
0.44 — Aldrich — Nonpareil — Monterey
0.42
```



2017/18 17-HORT-Gilbert

Matthew E. Gilbert^{1*}, Heather Vice¹ Cooperators: T. Buckley²

¹ UC Davis, Dept. of Plant Sciences, One Shields Ave. Mail Stop 1, Davis, CA 95616 (530) 572-7846 megilbert@ucdavis.edu ²U. Sydney, Australia

Supported by a Almond Board of California project, the Nickels Soil Lab and by UC Davis College of Agriculture and Environmental Sciences.

Objectives

1.How well does the new DRM sap flow method work in almonds?
2.Using sap flow can we evaluate the use of water potentials for scheduling irrigation? Do different varieties respond differently?
3.What most controls transpiration in almonds? <u>Does the DRM compare to CIMIS ETo, stem water potentials, soil moisture?</u>



Reference Evapotranspiration [mm/h]

Water deficits during led harvest to considerable decrease in sap flow in spite of high ETo. Thus, sap flow can when trees detect undergo stress from water depletion soil and environmental demands.

What controls almond transpiration?



Almond transpiration is closely follows variation in vapor pressure deficit and solar radiation, with a broader daily period of water loss than predicted by ETo. Wind speed affects almond water loss minimally under well watered conditions, but does result in considerable stomatal closure (stress?) under water-limiting conditions.



2016

Calibrating stem water potential measurements



Validation using a lysimeter

Sap flow was non-linearly related to lysimeter data for the almond lysimeter at Kearney REC, although the DRM method outperformed the others. This effect seems difficult to evaluate for a number of reasons: (1) sensor placement varies with each installation, (2) there are large temperature fluctuations in the small lysimeter tree, and (3) unknown factors could be affecting the lysimeter.



Sap flow can be used to calibrate how much transpiration is affected by water stress as indicated by the standard stem water potential measurement. Sap flow started to decrease at about -1.5MPa stem water potential. By -3 MPa sap flow had dropped to about 33% of initial values. The decrease in sap flow can be interpreted as the crop coefficient (Kc) decreasing (technically Kstress is decreasing). These data are equivalent to sap flow decreasing when stem water potential is 0.5MPa lower than baseline water potentials. **Variety effects were small.**



-3.0

-2.5

-2.0

-1.5

Stem Water Potential [MPa]

-1.0

-0.5

-3.0

-2.5

-2.0

-1.5

Stem Water Potential [MPa]

-1.0

-0.5



Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Sam Metcalf, Stan Cutter, Franz Neiderholzer, the field staff at the Nickels Soil Lab, Ken Shackel, and the Almond Board for the support they have provided to this project.