# **Physiology of Salinity Stress in Almond**

#### **Project No.: 14-HORT20-Brown/Grattan**

**Project Leaders:** Patrick Brown

Professor Department of Plant Sciences UC Davis One Shields Ave. Davis CA 95616-8683 530.752.0929 phbrown@ucdavis.edu

Steve Grattan Water Relations Specialist Land, Air and Water Resources UC Davis One Shields Ave. Davis CA 95616-8683 530.752.4618 srgrattan@ucdavis.edu

### **Project Cooperators and Personnel:**

Umit Baris Kutman, Postdoctoral Researcher, UC Davis Francisco Valenzuela, PhD Student, UC Davis Blake Sanden, UCCE – Kern County

# **Objectives**:

- 1) Investigate the growth and physiological responses of important almond rootstocks and cultivars to salinity stress
- 2) Elucidate the physiological mechanisms conferring different levels of salinity tolerance to different rootstocks and cultivars
- 3) Understand the interactions between toxic salt ions and essential minerals in almond and design nutritional salinity management strategies
- 4) Provide the physiological rationale and monitoring strategies needed to optimize almond selection and breeding programs for salinity tolerance

# **Interpretive Summary:**

Salinity stress is a growing concern for California almond growers. Drought and increasing dependence on low-quality groundwater for irrigation aggravates this problem. While it is recognized that almond is a very salt-sensitive crop, not much was known about the physiology of salinity stress in almond, genotypic variations in salinity tolerance and tolerance mechanisms. On grafted almond trees grown in pots under field conditions, we studied the effects of different levels of NaCl salinity and different supplemental salts on selected rootstocks and cultivars.

The first-year data obtained from the rootstock experiment showed that there was a great degree of variation in sensitivity to salinity among the tested rootstocks. Nonpareil trees grown on Nemaguard were most severely injured by salinity treatments. Hanson536 conferred significantly greater salt tolerance to salinity than Nemaguard. Nonpareil trees grown on Empyrean-1 and Viking were virtually unaffected by salinity for the duration of the experiment. Tolerance levels correlated very well with the leaf Na and Cl concentrations throughout the season. In the first year of the cultivar experiment, Nonpareil, Mission, Monterey and Fritz did not exhibit marked differences in terms of salinity tolerance and Cl accumulation but important differences in their tissue Na distribution patterns were detected. Nonpareil, known to be Natolerant, was particularly efficient in storing Na in its woody tissues, whereas Fritz and Mission were unable to do this and accumulated substantially more Na in their leaves. That the withinplant-distribution of Na can have a major impact on leaf Na levels was confirmed in a study on Nonpareil-Mission trees double-grafted on Nemaguard. Mission accumulated twice as much Na as Nonpareil in its leaves. In the rootstock-cultivar interaction experiment, rootstock appeared to be the major determinant of the salinity tolerance under our conditions although cultivars also played a role.

Both growth and carbon isotope discrimination data indicated that salinity-induced water stress did not play a significant role in our experiments. The observed effects were due to specific ion toxicities. Specifically, when the sole salinizing agent was NaCl, Cl accumulated much faster than Na in plant tissues and thus acted as the primary toxic ion. Counter ions affected the leaf accumulation rates of Na and Cl and KCl was more toxic than NaCl as it caused enhanced Cl uptake while  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$  salinity had no negative impacts in the first season.

# **Materials and Methods:**

#### Experiments in 2014:

In March 2014, the experiments for the first year of the project were started by planting young grafted almond trees in 7-gal pots filled with calcined clay (Turface®) as growth medium. This material has a high water-holding capacity and a high cation exchange capacity, drains very well, and does not become compacted. The pot experiments were designed as factorial 4 replicate experiments and conducted under field conditions. Throughout the experiment, the trees were irrigated with complete nutrient solution containing different amounts and types of salinizing agents, depending on the treatment. Irrigation time and frequency were adjusted as needed to meet the demand of the trees and to provide some extra water for leaching. Each time the trees were irrigated, a leaching fraction of about 25% prevented the accumulation of nutrients and salts in the pots.

# 1. Rootstock experiment:

Nonpareil almonds grafted on the following 4 *Prunus* rootstocks were tested for their salt tolerance in this experiment:

- Nemaguard
- Hansen536
- Empyrean-1
- Viking

The experimental trees were grown at 3 salinity levels:

- Control  $(EC = -0.8 \text{ dS/m})$
- Low salt  $(EC = -2.8 \text{ dS/m})$
- High salt  $(EC = -4.8 \text{ dS/m})$

The control solution contained only the complete set of mineral nutrients. Sodium chloride (NaCl) was used as the single salinizing agent for the salt treatments. For the low and high salt treatments, 20 mM and 40 mM NaCl were added to the irrigation water, respectively.

### 2. Cultivar experiment:

The following 4 almond cultivars grafted on the rootstock Nemaguard were tested for their performance at different salinity levels:

- Nonpareil
- Mission
- Monterey
- Fritz

As in the rootstock experiment, the trees were grown at 3 salinity levels:

- Control  $(EC = -0.8$  dS/m)
- Low salt  $(EC = -2.8 \text{ dS/m})$
- High salt  $(EC = -4.8 \text{ dS/m})$

While the control solution contained only the complete set of mineral nutrients, 20 mM and 40 mM NaCl were added to the irrigation water for the low and high salt treatments, respectively.

#### 3. Rootstock-cultivar interaction experiment:

To study the rootstock-cultivar interaction in almond trees in terms of salinity responses, the cultivars Nonpareil and Fritz were combined with the rootstocks Nemaguard and Hansen536 in a factorial design:

- Nonpareil on Nemaguard
- Nonpareil on Hansen536
- Fritz on Nemaguard
- Fritz on Hansen536

The salt treatments were the same as in the first two experiments:

- Control  $(EC = -0.8 \text{ dS/m})$
- Low salt  $(EC = -2.8 \text{ dS/m})$
- High salt  $(EC = -4.8 \text{ dS/m})$

For the low and high salt treatments, 20 mM and 40 mM NaCl were added to the control solution containing all the essential nutrients, respectively.

#### 4. Double-graft experiment:

For this experiment, Nemaguard rootstocks were double-grafted with two scions:

- Nonpareil
- Mission

These two cultivars were chosen based on preliminary data and orchard observations indicating a marked contrast between them in terms of salinity tolerance.

The objective of this experiment was to investigate the salt accumulation characteristics and salt tolerance levels of two cultivars growing on the same rootstock.

As in the other experiments described above, the trees were subjected to 3 salinity levels:

- Control  $(EC = -0.8 \text{ dS/m})$
- Low salt  $(EC = -2.8 \text{ dS/m})$
- High salt  $(EC = -4.8 \text{ dS/m})$

For the low and high salt treatments, 20 mM and 40 mM NaCl were added to the control solution containing all the essential nutrients, respectively.

### 5. Salt type experiment:

In order to elucidate the individual toxic effects of Na and Cl on almond trees, Nonpareil almonds grafted on Nemaguard were treated with the following 3 salts in this experiment:

- Sodium chloride (NaCl)
- Potassium chloride (KCl)
- Sodium sulfate  $(Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>)$

While KCl enabled us to focus on the individual effects of Cl without the interference of Na, Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> made it possible to study Na toxicity without the interference of CI. The counter ions potassium  $(K)$  and sulfate  $(SO<sub>4</sub>)$  are not expected to cause any direct toxicity problems.

The trees were grown at 3 salinity levels as in the other experiments:

- Control (No salinizing agent)
- Low salt (20 mM Na and/or CI in any form)
- High salt (40 mM Na and/or CI in any form)

In all these experiment, the high salt treatment was continued for 100 days and then terminated not to kill the severely stressed trees and study their response to a recovery treatment. During the recovery period, which started in the middle of August and continued until the end of the 2014 growing season, the former high-salt trees were irrigated with the control solution. Thus, all trees survived the 2014 growing season and could be treated with high salt again in 2015 to collect second-year data.

#### Measurements and analyses:

To monitor tree growth, all experimental trees were photographed once a month in front of a large white background, and the images were analyzed by using the software ImageJ to estimate the canopy size. These high-resolution images were also used to document the development of leaf symptoms caused by ionic toxicities. Complementary growth data were obtained from periodic trunk diameter measurements taken 15 cm above the graft union.

Once a month during the growing season, 20 mature leaf samples per tree were collected from the older halves of non-lignified branches for mineral analysis. They were dried, ground and analyzed for the concentrations of Na, Cl and all the essential minerals. To examine the trunk

accumulation of Na and Cl, trunk strip samples were taken from high-salt trees at the end of July 2014 without inflicting significant damage to the trees.

In addition, carbon isotope discrimination analysis was used to evaluate salinity-induced water stress. Due to physical (related to diffusion) and biochemical (enzymatic) reasons, plants discriminate against the heavier stable carbon isotope 13C in the atmosphere. However, when plants with C3 metabolism close their stomata upon water stress, this discrimination is impaired. Changes in the isotopic carbon composition of plant tissues can therefore be used as a quantitative marker of water stress. Almond leaf samples collected at the beginning of June 2014 and July 2014 were analyzed for their carbon composition.

### Experiments in 2015:

# 1. Experiments started in 2014:

All of the five experiments which started in 2014 continued in the 2015 growing season. In order to study the carry-over effects of salinity on leaf mineral composition, leaf samples were collected in April 2015 before the salt treatments were restarted. The treatments were continued for 9 weeks, and then, the experiments were terminated. Tree growth and salt toxicity symptoms were monitored as described above. At the end, the most extensive tissue sampling was conducted for these experiments.

The following samples were collected, dried and ground for mineral (including Na and Cl) and other analyses:

- Mature leaves: These will also be analyzed for carbon isotope discrimination
- Actively growing shoot tips: These will be specifically used to evaluate the boron (B) status of the trees and examine if salt treatments had a significant effect on the tissue B levels. They will also be analyzed for carbon isotope discrimination
- Rootstock trunk bark
- Rootstock trunk wood (xylem)
- Scion trunk bark
- Scion trunk wood (xylem)

In addition, another set of mature leaf samples were frozen at -80°C. They will be analyzed for compatible solutes (e.g. glycine betaine, proline) to study their accumulation in almond leaves in response to salinity and their possible contribution to salinity tolerance. Also, they will be analyzed for malondialdehyde as a measure of lipid peroxidation, which is, in turn, a measure of oxidative stress.

# 2. Rootstock experiment in solution culture:

The objective is to study the Na and Cl uptake and translocation traits of our rootstocks in the absence of rootstock-scion interactions and under controlled conditions. This 4-replicate experiment will complement the data obtained from the 2-year rootstock experiment on grafted almond trees. In this experiment, non-grafted rootstocks (Nemaguard, Hansen536, Empyrean-1 and Viking), which were rooted from hardwood cuttings, were grown hydroponically (in aerated static solution culture without any solid growth medium) under greenhouse conditions and then treated with different levels of NaCl (control, 30 mM, 60 mM) for 1 month. The leaves, stems and roots of all plants were harvested separately, dried, weighed and ground. They will be analyzed for mineral concentrations.

# 3. Split-root experiment:

To study the effects of non-uniform salinity in the root zone on the water, Na, Cl, nitrate and B uptake of almond trees, this model 4-replicate split-root experiment was conducted with nongrafted rootstocks (Nemaguard, Hansen536, Empyrean-1 and Viking), which were rooted from hardwood cuttings and grown hydroponically under greenhouse conditions. Special split-root pots with two independent chambers were designed and constructed for this purpose. When they were ready for the treatments, they were divided in 3 groups: control/control, control/salt, salt/salt. For the salt treatment, 60 mM NaCl was added to the nutrient solutions. The treatments were continued for 3 weeks. During this period, the changes in 24-h water consumptions of the rootstocks were measured periodically. To study the nitrate and B uptake, the stable isotopes 15N and 10B were used. The stable N isotope was applied for an uptake period of 8 hours and the stable B isotope for an uptake period of 24 hours. The experiment was terminated 3 days after the stable isotope applications by harvesting the leaves, stems and roots of the plants separately. The samples were dried, weighed and ground. They will be analyzed for total minerals, including Na and Cl, as well as for 15N and 10B.

# 4. Retranslocation and efflux experiment:

The objective of this experiment is to determine if retranslocation and root efflux of toxic ions (Na and Cl) may constitute an important tolerance and recovery mechanism for almond trees. For this purpose, young Nonpareil and Monterey trees grafted on Nemaguard were grown in pots under field conditions by using the same growth medium and the same irrigation and treatment system as in the 2-year experiments started in 2014. This was a two-stage experiment with 4 replicates. The first was the salt treatment stage while the second was the recovery stage. They were grouped in 2 harvest groups. The first group was harvested at the end of the salt treatment stage, and the second group was harvested at the end of the recovery treatment.

During the salt treatment stage, they were grown at 3 salinity levels for 2 months:

- Control  $(EC = -0.8 \text{ dS/m})$
- Low salt  $(EC = -2.8 \text{ dS/m})$
- High salt  $(EC = -4.8 \text{ dS/m})$

While the control solution contained only the complete set of mineral nutrients, 20 mM and 40 mM NaCl were added to the irrigation water for the low and high salt treatments, respectively.

During the 6-week recovery phase, the remaining former low-salt trees were irrigated with the control solution whereas the remaining former high-salt trees were irrigated with either the control or the low-salt solution.

For harvest, all the leaves and woody tissues (trunk and stems) above the graft union were collected separately, dried, weighed and ground. They will be analyzed for Na and Cl concentrations. A net decrease in total Na or Cl contents (concentration x biomass) of the scions during the recovery phase will indicate the presence of significant Na or Cl

retranslocation and efflux. Growth and dilution during the recovery phase will also be evaluated as possible tolerance mechanisms.

# 5. Potassium-salinity interaction experiment:

Results from the 2014 growing season showed that KCl was more toxic than NaCl because the leaf Cl levels increased more rapidly in KCl-treated trees. As KCl is still a widely used K fertilizer in California and also other parts of the world, this finding is of critical importance. Other important aspects of K x salinity interaction, which were studied in other crops before, include salinity-induced K deficiency and the use of K fertilizers to increase the Na tolerance. In order to elucidate the K x Cl and K x Na interactions in almond trees, Fritz almonds grafted on Nemaguard were grown in pots under field conditions by using the same growth medium and the same irrigation and treatment system as in the 2-year experiments started in 2014. In this factorial experiment with 4 replicates, there are 3 K (low: 0.3 mM; medium: 1.2 mM; high: 4.8 mM) and 3 salt (control; 40 mM NaCl; 40 mM Na in the form of  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ ) treatments. Leaf samples will be analyzed for K, Na and Cl concentrations.

# **Results and Discussion:**

There was a great degree of variation among the rootstocks in terms of salinity tolerance. Nonpareil grafted on Nemaguard was the first one to exhibit necrosis in mature leaves. Severe stress caused defoliation after necrosis (**Figure 1**). Trees on Hansen536 were clearly more salt-tolerant. They started to show symptoms while trees on Nemaguard were almost completely defoliated. Trees which were grafted on Empryean-1 or Viking were totally free of symptoms throughout the season.



**Figure 1**. Nonpareil almond trees grafted on different rootstocks (Nemaguard, Hansen536, Empyrean-1 and Viking) two months after high salt treatment (40 mM NaCl;  $EC = -4.8$  dS/m)

As shown in **Figure 2**, the growth of Nonpareil was already inhibited at high salinity in the second month when the rootstock was Nemaguard. The canopy size of the trees on Nemaguard was significantly reduced at both the low and high salinity levels in the third month due to defoliation while control trees continued to grow. At 105 days after commencement of the salinity treatments, trees in the highest saline treatments were removed from the high

salinity treatment and changed to the control treatments to allow trees to recover from the severe stress. The recovery treatment had an immediate positive effect on the high-salttreated trees on Nemaguard. Vigorous new growth due to the recovery treatment increased the estimated canopy size of former high-salt-treated trees above that of the low-salt-treated ones toward the end of the season. Nonpareil on Hansen536 rootstock was significantly less affected by salinity than Nonpareil on Nemaguard. At the low salinity level, trees on Hansen536 did not lose their leaves at all due to salinity but at the high salinity level, a significant growth depression was observed in the third month of treatment. High-salt-treated trees on Hansen536 did not respond to the recovery treatment as quickly as those on Nemaguard. Control and low-salt trees on Hansen536 stopped growing and started to lose some leaves toward the end of the season but this was not related to salinity. Nonpareil on Empyrean-1 and Viking rootstocks were completely unaffected by the salinity treatments used here.



**Figure 2**. Growth of Nonpareil almond trees grafted on different rootstocks (Nemaguard, Hansen536, Empyrean-1 and Viking) based on canopy size estimated by digital image analysis under control (0 mM NaCl;  $EC = -0.8$ )  $dS/m$ , low salt (20 mM NaCl; EC =  $\sim$  2.8 dS/m) and high salt conditions (40 mM NaCl; EC =  $\sim$  4.8 dS/m). The dashed line indicates the start of recovery treatment (application of control solution) for high-salt-treated trees.

In the cultivar experiment, it was observed that all of the four cultivars tested were very sensitive to salinity caused by NaCl when grafted on Nemaguard although there was some variation. Nonpareil was the first cultivar to experience extensive leaf loss and exhibit a net decrease in canopy size in the second month of the high salinity treatment (**Figure 3**). Mission, Monterey and Fritz followed Nonpareil. All cultivars responded well to the recovery treatment for the high-salt trees but Nonpareil and Monterey had the most vigorous new growth during the recovery phase.



**Figure 3**. Growth of different almond cultivars (Nonpareil, Mission, Monterey and Fritz) grafted on Nemaguard based on canopy size estimated by digital image analysis under control (0 mM NaCl;  $EC = -0.8$  dS/m), low salt (20 mM NaCl; EC =  $\sim$  2.8 dS/m) and high salt conditions (40 mM NaCl; EC =  $\sim$  4.8 dS/m). The dashed line indicates the start of recovery treatment (application of control solution) for high-salt-treated trees.

As can be seen in **Figures 4 and 5**, the effects of salinity depended predominantly on the rootstock in our rootstock-cultivar interaction experiment. When grafted on Nemaguard, both Nonpareil and Fritz were markedly more salt-sensitive than when grafted on Hansen536. The salt responses of Fritz on Hansen536 in terms of growth depression and defoliation were similar to those of Nonpareil on Hansen536. This demonstrates that the rootstock selection is particularly critical for salinity tolerance in almond trees.



**Figure 4**. Leaf symptoms of Nonpareil and Fritz almonds grafted on Nemaguard and Hansen536 rootstocks two months after high salt treatment (40 mM NaCl;  $EC = -4.8$  dS/m)

In the salt type experiment, the leaves on the KCl-treated trees started to turn necrotic along the margins at least two weeks before those of the NaCl-treated ones. As a result, two months after salt treatments, Nonpareil trees on Nemaguard treated with 40 mM KCl were 90% defoliated while those treated with 40 mM NaCl had lost 75% of their leaves (**Figure 6**). Trees treated with  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$  showed no stress or toxicity symptoms even though they received the same level of Na as the NaCl-treated trees.



**Figure 5**. Growth of Nonpareil and Fritz almond trees grafted on Nemaguard and Hansen536 based on canopy size estimated by digital image analysis under control (0 mM NaCl;  $EC = -0.8$  dS/m), low salt (20 mM NaCl;  $EC =$  $\sim$  2.8 dS/m) and high salt conditions (40 mM NaCl; EC =  $\sim$  4.8 dS/m). The dashed line indicates the start of recovery treatment (application of control solution) for high-salt-treated trees.



Figure 6. Effect of salt type (NaCl, KCl or Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) on the leaf symptoms and defoliation observed in Nonpareil grafted on Nemaguard two months after high salt treatment (40 mM Na and/or Cl)

The canopy size data were in agreement with these observations (**Figure 7**). In the second month, a much steeper decrease in estimated canopy size was observed for trees treated with high KCl than for those treated with high NaCl. Similarly, the effect of the low salt treatment was clearly harsher for the KCl-treated trees in the third month than for the NaCl-treated ones. In the recovery phase, the former high-KCl trees could not recover nearly as well as the former high NaCl trees. Apparently,  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$  treatments did not adversely affect the growth of the trees. These results demonstrated that Cl and not Na was responsible for the symptoms as the predominant toxic ion in our experiments.

Secondary (trunk) growth data correlated well with primary (canopy) growth data. In the rootstock experiment, only the trunk growth of the trees grafted on Nemaguard was significantly impaired by the salt treatments (**Figure 8**). While the high salinity treatment also tended to reduce the secondary growth of the trees on the other rootstocks the effects were not significant. In the cultivar experiment, the trunk diameters of Nonpareil and Fritz were markedly reduced by the salinity treatments whereas those of Monterey and Mission were affected to a lesser extent. The apparently insignificant effect of salinity on Mission could be because of micronutrient imbalance (data not shown), which affected Mission more than the other cultivars and limited the growth of control trees. In the rootstock-cultivar interaction experiment, the effect of salinity on the trunk growth of the trees on Hansen536 was markedly smaller than its effect on the trunk growth of the trees on Nemaguard. When grafted on Hansen536, Nonpareil appeared to be more salt-tolerant than Fritz. Finally, NaCl and KCl limited the secondary growth drastically in the salt type experiment while the effect of  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ was insignificant.



Figure 7. Effect of salt type (NaCl, KCl or Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) on the growth of Nonpareil grafted on Nemaguard based on canopy size estimated by digital image analysis at high salinity (40 mM Na and/or Cl). The dashed line indicates the start of recovery treatment (application of control solution) for high-salt-treated trees.



**Figure 8**. Secondary growth as measured by the increase in trunk diameter 15 cm above the graft union from the start of the salt treatments (control, low and high) to the start of the recovery treatment for the high-salt trees. Data are shown for the rootstock, cultivar, rootstock-cultivar and salt type experiments. Different letters indicate significant differences according to Tukey's HSD test (*P* < 0.05).

Salinity stress has several components among which induced water stress and specific ion toxicities are generally considered the most important ones. Induced water stress would cause reductions in growth parameters. In our experiments, however, salinity treatments were not associated with growth impairment when there were no leaf toxicity symptoms. In terms of canopy and trunk growth, the performance of salt-treated trees was impaired only in cases where leaves turned necrotic due to ionic toxicity and fell off the trees (**Figures 1-8**). This indicates that under our conditions, trees did not suffer significantly from salinity-induced water stress and all the observed effects were mainly due to ionic toxicity. Nevertheless, in order to more closely investigate the water stress component, carbon isotope discrimination analysis was performed on mature leaf samples collected 34 and 65 days after salinity treatments. This analysis provides an integrated measure of water stress over time and is therefore more advantageous than instantaneous gas exchange or water potential measurements. The carbon discrimination, denoted by ∆, is expected to decrease under water stress. In the rootstock experiment, the trees grafted on Nemaguard had higher ∆ values than the others, irrespective of the salinity treatment (**Table 1**). Salinity did not have any effect on the ∆ values 34 days after treatment while high salinity did not decrease but slightly increased the ∆ values 65 days after treatment.

**Table 1.** Carbon isotope discrimination of Nonpareil almonds grafted on different rootstocks (Nemaguard, Hansen536, Empyrean1 and Viking) 34 and 65 days after treatment with control (0 mM NaCl;  $\overline{EC} = -0.8$  dS/m), low salt (20 mM NaCl;  $\overline{EC} = -2.8$  dS/m) and high salt solutions (40 mM NaCl;  $EC = -4.8$  dS/m)



Means followed by different letters are significantly different according to Tukey's HSD test (*P* < 0.05).

In the cultivar experiment, there were also some statistically significant differences between the cultivars, irrespective of the salt treatments, and Mission exhibited the highest carbon discrimination (**Table 2**). As in the rootstock experiment, ∆ was unaffected by the treatments

34 days after treatment, and the slight but statistically significant effect of high salinity observed 65 days after treatment was not negative but positive. These data confirmed that our salinity treatments did not induce any significant water stress.



**Table 2.** Carbon isotope discrimination of different almond cultivars (Nonpareil, Mission, Monterey and Fritz) grafted on Nemaguard 34 and 65 days after treatment with control (0 mM NaCl;  $EC = -0.8$  dS/m), low salt (20 mM NaCl;  $\overline{EC} = -2.8$  dS/m) and high salt solutions (40 mM NaCl;  $\overline{EC} = -4.8$  dS/m)

Means followed by different letters are significantly different according to Tukey's HSD test (*P* < 0.05).

The leaf Na and Cl concentration data for the rootstock experiment demonstrated the presence of significant differences between the rootstocks in Na and Cl accumulation (**Figure 9**). At both low and high salinity levels, leaves of Nonpareil had the highest Na and Cl concentrations at all of the three time points when the rootstock was Nemaguard. Trees on Hansen536 had up to 50% lower leaf Na and Cl concentrations than trees on Nemaguard. The Empyrean-1 and Viking rootstocks appeared to be the most efficient Na and Cl excluders among those tested. Trees grown on either of these two rootstocks had significantly lower leaf Na and Cl concentrations than those grown on Hansen536 or Nemaguard. These data explain why trees on Nemaguard were the first ones to show leaf burn symptoms and lose leaves in the rootstock experiment while trees on Empyrean-1 and Viking were symptom-free throughout the season (**Figures 1 and 2**). Another very important aspect of the data shown in **Figure 9** is that the leaf Cl levels were always about one order of magnitude higher than the leaf Na levels. The highest Na concentration measured was around 0.4% whereas the leaf Cl concentrations exceeded 4.0%. From these data, it can be concluded that Cl accumulates markedly faster than Na in the leaves when the irrigation water contains comparable levels of Na and Cl. In addition, under these conditions, Cl appears to be primarily responsible for the toxicity symptoms. Other perennial crops known to be Cl-sensitive include grapevine, avocado and Citrus species. Nevertheless, Na toxicity may be more important than Cl toxicity where the Na concentration in the soil and/or irrigation water is higher than the Cl concentration.



**Figure 9**. Na and Cl concentrations of older leaves of Nonpareil grafted on different rootstocks (Nemaguard, Hansen536, Empyrean-1 and Viking) 34, 65 and 97 days after treatment (DAT) with low salt (20 mM NaCl; EC =  $\sim$  2.8 dS/m) and high salt solutions (40 mM NaCl; EC =  $\sim$  4.8 dS/m). 97 DAT data are not available (n/a) for the high salinity treatment because of nearly complete defoliation of the trees grafted on Nemaguard.

In the cultivar experiment, Fritz had the highest leaf Na concentrations among all cultivars grown on Nemaguard at all time points and at both salinity levels whereas Nonpareil and Mission had the lowest leaf Na concentrations (**Figure 10**). Monterey exhibited an intermediate performance between Nonpareil and Fritz at high salinity. With respect to leaf Cl concentration, Nonpareil, Monterey and Fritz were not different from each other. Mission had lower leaf Cl concentrations, especially at low salinity. However, as discussed above, the data shown for Mission in the cultivar experiment may have been impaired by micronutrient imbalance. The strikingly high Cl concentrations measured in the leaves of all cultivars grown on Nemaguard explain why all cultivars appeared to be similarly salt-sensitive in our experiment (**Figure 3**).



**Figure 10**. Na and Cl concentrations of older leaves of different almond cultivars (Nonpareil, Mission, Monterey and Fritz) grafted on Nemaguard 34, 65 and 97 days after treatment (DAT) with low salt (20 mM NaCl; EC =  $\sim$  2.8  $dS/m$ ) and high salt solutions (40 mM NaCl; EC = ~4.8  $dS/m$ ). 97 DAT data are not available (n/a) for the high salinity treatment because of nearly complete defoliation of the trees.



**Figure 11**. Na and Cl concentrations of trunk tissue samples (rootstock bark, rootstock xylem, scion bark, scion xylem) obtained from different almond cultivars (Nonpareil, Mission, Monterey and Fritz) grown on Nemaguard 84 days after treatment with high salt (40 mM NaCl;  $EC = -4.8$  dS/m).

The analysis of trunk tissue samples collected from the high-salt trees in the cultivar experiment revealed that the Nonpareil scion accumulated markedly more Na in its bark and xylem than the others (**Figure 11**). In contrast, the bark and xylem tissues of Mission and Fritz scions had much lower Na concentrations. Such differences in trunk Na storage capacity may affect the Na sensitivity of cultivars. The exceptionally high trunk Na storage capacity of Nonpareil may translate into lower leaf Na concentrations and thus higher Na tolerance while the lack of Na storage in the trunk of Fritz and Mission may result in higher leaf Na levels and thus higher Na sensitivity. The common rootstock Nemaguard also had high concentrations of Na in its trunk tissues, particularly in its xylem tissue. Similar Cl concentrations were measured in the trunk tissues of all cultivars grafted on Nemaguard. Although they were higher than the trunk Na levels, they were very low when compared to the leaf Cl concentrations (**Figure 10**). This and the lack of any difference between the cultivars in this respect indicate that trunk storage of Cl is not an important Cl tolerance mechanism. Finally, it is noteworthy that the bark was significantly richer in Cl than the wood. If this is related to Cl redistribution via the phloem it needs to be investigated.



**Figure 12**. Na and Cl concentrations of older leaves of Nonpareil grafted on Nemaguard 34, 65 and 97 days after treatment (DAT) with low (20 mM Na and/or Cl) and high salinity (40 mM Na and/or Cl). Some data for the high salinity treatment are not available (n/a) due to defoliation of NaCl- and KCl-treated trees.

In the salt-type experiment, the leaf Na concentrations of NaCl-treated trees were twice as high as those of  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ -treated ones at each time point and at both salinity levels although the Na concentrations in the irrigation water were the same (**Figure 12**). Salt type also

dramatically affected the leaf Cl levels. The KCl-treated trees accumulated Cl much more rapidly in their leaves than the NaCl-treated ones, which received the same amount of Cl. This explains why the KCl-treated trees were injured earlier and more severely by the salt treatments than the NaCl-treated ones. Apparently, the counter ions affect the uptake rates of Na and CI. Chloride stimulates Na uptake more than  $SO<sub>4</sub>$  while excess K stimulates CI uptake more than Na. This may be related to the cation-anion balance in the plant. The practical consequence of this finding is that the exact ionic composition of any saline soil or irrigation water is critical because it will determine how fast each toxic ion will accumulate in the plant tissues and thus which toxic ion will be primarily responsible for salt damage.



**Table 3.** Na and Cl concentrations of older leaves of Nonpareil and Mission scions double-grafted on Nemaguard 29, 61 and 93 days after treatment (DAT) with control (0 mM NaCl; EC =  $\sim$  0.8 dS/m), low salt (20 mM NaCl;  $EC = -2.8$  dS/m) and high salt solutions (40 mM NaCl;  $EC = -4.8$  dS/m)

In the double-graft experiment, there were some impressive differences in the leaf Na accumulation patterns of the Nonpareil and Mission scions on Nemaguard rootstock. At low salinity, both scions had the same Na concentrations in their leaves in the first two months after treatment (**Table 3**). Three months later, however, the average leaf Na concentration of Mission was twice as high as that of Nonpareil. At high salinity, differences were clear within one month after treatment and leaf Na levels increased rapidly to levels ten fold higher than those measured at low salinity. At each time point, Mission leaves contained twice as much Na as Nonpareil leaves. This difference cannot be explained by root uptake of Na because in this experiment, the two scions share the same rootstock and thus the same root system. The difference is caused by different tissue distribution patterns of Na in the two cultivars. As shown in **Figure 11** and discussed above, the woody tissues of Nonpareil appear to have a high Na storage capacity whereas those of Mission do not store Na. In terms of leaf Cl concentrations, the two scions behaved similarly.

All these results were obtained in the first year of the experiments, which were set up in 2014. Second-year data were collected from these experiments in 2015. Sample and data analyses

are underway. The other experiments, which were started in 2015 and described in the Materials and Methods section of this report, are still in progress.

We are grateful to the Almond Board of California for funding this research project.