Field Evaluation of Almond Varieties

Project No.: **12-HORT2-Lampinen**

Project Leader: Bruce Lampinen
Department of Plant Sciences
UC Davis
One Shields Avenue
Davis, CA 95616
530.752.2588
bdlampinen@ucdavis.edu

Project Cooperators and Personnel:
Tom Gradziel, Sam Metcalf, William Stewart, Maria Contador, and Mary Ann Thorpe, UC Davis
Joe Connell, UCCE - Butte County
Paul Verdegaal, UCCE - San Joaquin County
Mario Viveros and Minerva Gonzalez, UCCE - Kern County
The Billings Ranches, Kern County

Objectives:

- The first objective of this project is to evaluate performance of pollenizer varieties and Nonpareil clones in a replicated field trial in McFarland, California.
- A secondary objective is to use the replicated data on light interception and yield to assess the relative productivity per unit of light intercepted for the Nonpareil clones, varieties, and selections.

Interpretive Summary:

Yields at the McFarland trial continue to be high compared to the 1993 regional almond variety trials (RAVT). The McFarland trial reached an average production of 3,000 kernel pounds per acre in the 5th growing season which was six years earlier than the 1993 Kern RAVT while neither the 1993 Butte nor the 1993 Delta trials ever reached this level of production. In order to develop the canopy this quickly, water and other inputs need to be high. This has resulted in high disease pressure (especially hull rot). Because this trial is replicated, unlike the earlier regional almond variety trials, we have the opportunity to more accurately assess yield differences among the Nonpareil clones, varieties, and selections. In addition, because we have detailed light interception data, we can assess whether differences in productivity among varieties and selections are due to faster canopy growth or higher productivity per unit light intercepted. Yields in 2011 were quite high – likely a result of the relatively low yields in 2010. Nonpareil yields varied from 4,300 to almost 5,000 kernel pounds per acre in 2011 and continued to be generally higher than pollenizer yields. In 2012, yields were down substantially compared to 2011, most likely due to alternate bearing. There appears to be some separation of cumulative yields for the Nonpareil clones with Nonpareil-Nico highest and Nonpareil-Jones lowest. Hull rot continued to be the major disease related problem with the highest levels in Kochi and Winters with the Nonpareil clones having intermediate

hull rot pressure. Summary data from the 2006 to 2012 seasons shows that although bloom period varied significantly from one year to the next, in general, overlap of Nonpareil bloom with pollenizers was good in all years.

Materials and Methods:

This report will concentrate on a replicated variety trial of eight varieties and eight Nonpareil clones that was planted in 2004 in Kern County near McFarland, CA. Soils at the site consist of McFarland loam and Wasco sandy loam (both Class I soils). The irrigation system is double line drip. Tree spacing is 20 feet between tree rows and 18 feet between trees for a density of 121 trees per acre. Varieties planted included Chips, Kahl, Kochi, Marcona, Selection 2-19E, Sweetheart, and Winters. Nonpareil clones planted include Nonpareil 3-8-2-70, Nonpareil 5, Nonpareil 6, Nonpareil 7, Nonpareil Dr., Nonpareil-J, Nonpareil-Newell and Nonpareil-Nico. There are six replications of each variety and Nonpareil clone with 34 trees per replication. Pollenizer and Nonpareil rows alternate in the orchard.

In 2012, data was collected on bloom and maturity timing, disease pressure (scab, *Alternaria,* and hull rot), yield, and midday canopy light interception. In 2006, 2007, and 2008, midday canopy light interception was measured manually using a hand lightbar. The mobile platform light bar (described in report for Almond Board project titled "Development and Testing of a Mobile Platform for Measuring Canopy Light Interception and Stress in Almond", 12-HORT13-Lampinen) was used to measure midday canopy light interception in 2009-2012.

Results and Discussion:

The grower started ripping every other row center in 2009, and this has resulted in significant improvements in water penetration in the orchard. This procedure has continued and appears to be beneficial with much better water penetration observed.

Weather during bloom was generally good at the McFarland trial site. For the 2012 bloom period there were 103 good bee flying hours (temperature $\geq 59°$F, windspeed ≤ 10 MPH, and no rain). This compared to 182, 91, 58, and 94 good bee flying hours in 2008, 2009, 2010, and 2011 respectively.

Although the absolute date of bloom for all varieties varied from year to year, bloom overlap among the Nonpareil clones and pollenizers was good in every year (**Figure 1**). Average bloom dates for the 2006 to 2012 seasons are shown in **Figure 2**.

In 2012, hullsplit initiation was slightly late but completion of hullsplit tended to be slightly earlier than average (**Figure 3**, **Figure 4**).

In 2010, scab was only observed on Winters and Nonpareil-5 and in 2011 on Winters and selection 2-19E (**Table 1**). In 2012, scab was present at low level on most varieties and selections (**Table 1**). Alternaria was not present in 2010, but in 2011 and 2012 all Nonpareil selections and pollenizers had some present with the worst being in Kahl,

Winters, Kochi and Marcona (**Table 1**). There was substantial hull rot in all varieties and selections with the most severe disease pressure in Kochi and Winters in both 2010 and 2011 (**Table 1**). Kochi also displayed severe hull rot in 2008 and 2009. There was also substantial hull rot in all the Nonpareil clones in 2010 and slightly less in 2011 (**Table 1**). In 2012, hull rot levels were the lowest of the past three years (**Table 1**).

Yield data for the McFarland trial from the 2006 through 2008 seasons are shown in **Table 2** and for the 2009-2012 seasons in **Table 3**. All of the Nonpareil clones except Nonpareil-J have tended to have higher yields compared to most of the pollenizers (**Table 2**). Selection 2-19E has had the highest yields among all of the pollenizers in the trial followed by Winters (**Table 3**). The replication provided in this trial adds much value to the data compared to earlier unreplicated variety trials, but it comes at a cost in terms of the time required for maintaining, rating, and harvesting the plots.

The yields from this trial continue to be high for the age of the orchard. **Figure 5** shows average yield by orchard age for all varieties, selections, and Nonpareil sources for the Butte, Delta, and Kern trials planted in 1993 compared to the McFarland trial planted in 2004. The McFarland trial reached an average production of 3,000 kernel pounds per acre six years earlier than the 1993 Kern RAVT while neither the Butte nor Delta trials ever reached this level of production (**Figure 5**). Yields have tended to alternate around 3,200 kernel pounds per acre which is what would be expected based on light interception levels.

The productivity per unit canopy light interception was quite high for the size of the tree in 2009 (ranging from 52 to 85 kernel pounds per unit light intercepted) and was still fairly high in 2010 (ranging from 23 to 51 kernel pounds per unit light intercepted) considering our previous data has suggested 50 kernel pounds per unit light intercepted is near the normal maximum for almond (**Table 2**). **Figure 6** shows the yield per unit photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) intercepted by variety or selection (data for Nonpareil sources are averaged). This figure suggests that the yields tend to alternate around 50 confirming our earlier observations.

In general, varieties or selections that produced high yield per unit PAR intercepted also produced high yields overall (**Figure 7**). Perhaps this is because the same factors that result in more rapid growth in the tree canopy (as measured by PAR interception) also result in more productivity per unit light intercepted. An additional factor influencing canopy light interception and yield is canopy loss due to hull rot which was particularly pronounced in Kochi.

When cumulative pollenizer yields are plotted against cumulative adjacent Nonpareil yields, it does not appear that higher pollenizer yields result in lower Nonpareil yields (**Figure 6a**). In general as pollenizer yields increase, yields for adjacent pollenizers increase as well. For the average yield of the pollenizer plus adjacent Nonpareil rows combined the cumulative yields are listed below from highest to lowest:

2-19E>Winters>Chips>Kahl>Sweetheart>Marcona>Kochi

In general, when yields for pollenizers and adjacent Nonpareil rows are averaged, there is a very good relationship with both increasing in concert (**Figure 6b**). The only exception was Marcona which gave higher yields for the adjacent Nonpareil rows. This suggests increasing pollinizer yields does not seem to be compromising adjacent row Nonpareil yields in this trial. This is a somewhat unexpected result since data from the 1993 Regional Almond Variety Trials showed that tall pollenizers generally had higher yields compared to adjacent Nonpareil rows. This might be explained if the higher yields coincide with better soil conditions.

Acknowledgements:

The authors wish to thank the Almond Board of California for their continued support of this project. We also want to thank the Billings Ranches for their excellent cooperation in managing and maintaining this trial.

Table 1. Scab rating, *Alternaria* rating, and hull rot strikes per tree for the 2010-2012 seasons at the McFarland trial. Letters indicate significant difference at the 5% level of significance.

2010

Scab Rating		*Alternaria rating*		*Hull Rot Strikes*	
selection 2-19e	0.00 a	Chips	0.00 a	Kahl	8.33 a
Chips	0.00 a	Kahl	0.00 a	Sweetheart	11.00 a
Kahl	0.00 a	Kochi	0.00 a	Marcona	13.33 a
Kochi	0.00 a	Marcona	0.00 a	selection 2-19e	18.83 a
Marcona	0.00 a	Nonpareil 3-8-2-70	0.00 a	Price	23.01 a
Nonpareil 3-8-2-70	0.00 a	Nonpareil-5	0.00 a	Chips	24.00 a
Nonpareil-6	0.00 a	Nonpareil-6	0.00 a	Nonpareil-Nico	30.67 a
Nonpareil-7	0.00 a	Nonpareil-7	0.00 a	Nonpareil 3-8-2-70	61.33 a
Nonpareil-DR	0.00 a	Nonpareil-DR	0.00 a	Nonpareil-J	62.67 a
Nonpareil-J	0.00 a	Nonpareil-J	0.00 a	Nonpareil-5	65.17 a
Nonpareil-Newell	0.00 a	Nonpareil-Newell	0.00 a	Nonpareil-7	72.67 a
Nonpareil-Nico	0.00 a	Nonpareil-Nico	0.00 a	Nonpareil-6	82.83 a
Price	0.00 a	Price	0.00 a	Nonpareil-Newell	83.67 a
Sweetheart	0.00 a	selection 2-19e	0.00 a	Nonpareil-DR	98.17 a
Nonpareil-5	1.00 a	Sweetheart	0.00 a	Kochi	262.00 b
Winters	2.00 b	Winters	0.00 a	Winters	539.67 c

2011

Scab Rating		*Alternaria rating*		*Hull Rot Strikes*	
Chips	0.00 a	Nonpareil-Nico	0.67 a	Kahl	2.33 a
Kahl	0.00 a	Nonpareil-7	0.67 a	Marcona	3.33 a
Kochi	0.00 a	Nonpareil-J	0.83 a b	Chips	5.00 a b
Marcona	0.00 a	Sweetheart	1.00 a b	Nonpareil-DR	10.33 a b
Nonpareil 3-8-2-70	0.00 a	Nonpareil-Newell	1.00 a b c	Nonpareil-Nico	10.67 a b
Nonpareil-5	0.00 a	Nonpareil-5	1.00 a b c	Nonpareil-5	15.00 a b
Nonpareil-6	0.00 a	Nonpareil-6	1.00 a b c	Nonpareil 3-8-2-70	22.00 a b c
Nonpareil-7	0.00 a	Nonpareil 3-8-2-70	1.00 a b c	Nonpareil-J	26.67 a b c
Nonpareil-DR	0.00 a	2-19E	1.17 a b c d	Nonpareil-7	31.00 a b c
Nonpareil-J	0.00 a	Nonpareil-DR	1.17 a b c d	Nonpareil-Newell	34.00 a b c
Nonpareil-Newell	0.00 a	Chips	1.50 b c d	Nonpareil-6	39.67 a b c
Nonpareil-Nico	0.00 a	Marcona	1.67 c d e	Sweetheart	48.67 a b c
Sweetheart	0.00 a	Kochi	1.83 d e	2-19E	94.00 b c
2-19E	0.17 b	Winters	2.33 e	Winters	104.83 c
Winters	3.00 c	Kahl	2.33 e	Kochi	325.83 d

2012

	Scab Rating		*Alternaria rating*		*Hull Rot Strikes*	
Nonpareil-5	**0.0**	**a**	Nonpareil-6	**0.67**	**a**	Marcona	**0.00**	**a**
Chips	**0.0**	**a**	Nonpareil-Newell	**0.83**	**a**	Kahl	**1.83**	**a b**
Kahl	**0.2**	**a b**		**0.83**	**a**	Chips	**4.17**	**a b c**
Nonpareil-J	**0.2**	**a b**	Nonpareil-Nico	**0.83**	**a**	Nonpareil-Nico	**13.83**	**a b c d**
Nonpareil-6	**0.2**	**a b**	Nonpareil-DR	**0.83**	**a**	Nonpareil-DR	**17.17**	**a b c d**
2-19E	**0.3**	**a b c**	Chips	**1.00**	**a**	Nonpareil 3-8-2-70	**23.67**	**a b c d e**
Nonpareil-Nico	**0.3**	**a b c**	Nonpareil-J	**1.00**	**a**	Nonpareil-5	**25.00**	**a b c d e**
Nonpareil-7	**0.5**	**a b c**	Sweetheart	**1.00**	**a**	Nonpareil-7	**25.00**	**a b c d e**
Nonpareil-DR	**0.5**	**a b c**	Nonpareil-7	**1.00**	**a**	Nonpareil-Newell	**30.67**	**b c d e**
Marcona	**0.5**	**a b c**	Kahl	**1.17**	**a**	Nonpareil-J	**33.17**	**c d e f**
Kochi	**0.5**	**a b c**	Nonpareil-5	**1.17**	**a**	Sweetheart	**41.83**	**d e f**
Nonpareil 3-8-2-70	**0.7**	**b c**	Marcona	**1.50**	**b**	Winters	**47.17**	**e f**
Nonpareil-Newell	**0.8**	**c**	Kochi	**1.50**	**b**	Nonpareil-6	**47.17**	**e f**
Sweetheart	**1.8**	**d**	2-19E	**1.50**	**b**	Kochi	**56.67**	**f g**
Winters	**3.0**	**e**	Winters	**2.50**	**c**	2-19E	**81.00**	**g**

Table 2. Number of nuts per tree, average kernel weight, shelling percentage, kernel pounds per unit of photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) intercepted, kernel pound per acre, and cumulative kernel pounds per acre for the 2006 through 2008 seasons. Data for each year is sorted by cumulative yield.

2006				Kernel pounds per			
Variety	No. of nuts/tree	Average kernel wt (g)	Shelling percentage	unit PAR int.	Tree	Acre	Cumulative kernel yield (lbs/acre)
2-19e	6852 a	0.94 g	53.0 d	45.5 a	14.2 a	1718 a	1718 a
Winters	6648 a	0.87 h	53.4 d	47.9 a	12.7 a	1540 a	1540 a
Marcona	3611 bcd	1.31 a	30.7 f	41.4 a	10.4 b	1258 b	1258 b
Nonpareil-Ni	4246 b	1.09 cde	67.2 a	32.4 b	10.2 bc	1232 bc	1232 bc
Nonpareil-5	3713 bcd	1.12 bcd	67.9 a	30.1 b	9.2 bcd	1110 bcd	1110 bcd
Nonpareil-D	3867 bc	1.07 def	63.4 abc	29.9 b	9.1 bcd	1103 bcd	1103 bcd
Nonpareil-3-8-2-70	3848 bc	1.07 cde	64.6 ab	30.6 b	9.1 bcd	1101 bcd	1101 bcd
Nonpareil-Ne	3815 bc	1.07 cde	67.7 a	28.2 b	9.0 bcd	1086 bcd	1086 bcd
Nonpareil-6	3886 bcd	1.12 bc	67.0 a	28.3 b	8.9 bcd	1075 bcd	1075 bcd
Nonpareil-J	3717 bcd	1.08 cde	64.0 abc	28.4 b	8.8 bcd	1066 bcd	1066 bcd
Chips	3623 bcd	1.02 f	53.8 d	26.9 b	8.1 bcde	985 bcde	985 bcde
Kochi	3134 cd	1.16 b	59.9 c	26.7 b	8.0 cde	965 cde	965 cde
Nonpareil-7	3288 bcd	1.08 cde	65.1 a	24.4 bc	7.8 de	941 de	941 de
Kahl	3139 cd	1.06 ef	47.8 e	31.0 b	7.4 de	889 def	889 def
Sweetheart	2777 d	0.95 g	67.8 a	16.7 c	5.6 f	681 f	681 f

2007				Kernel pounds per			
Variety	No. of nuts/tree	Average kernel wt (g)	Shelling percentage	unit PAR int.	Tree	Acre	Cumulative kernel yield (lbs/acre)
2-19e	13149 a	0.78 e	54.3 d	58.4 ab	22.8 a	2756 a	4474 a
Winters	11972 ab	0.83 de	60.2 b	65.8 a	21.8 ab	2634 ab	4173 a
Nonpareil-Newell	10659 bc	0.90 bc	67.3 a	52.9 bc	21.0 abc	2543 abc	3626 b
Nonpareil-Nico	9260 cde	0.92 bc	66.0 a	47.1 cde	18.8 abcd	2279 abcd	3511 b
Nonpareil-Driver	9793 cd	0.91 bc	65.6 a	51.1 bc	19.6 abcd	2371 abcd	3474 b
Nonpareil-5	8905 cdef	0.95 b	67.0 a	49.3 bcd	19.0 abcd	2299 abcd	3410 b
Nonpareil-3-8-2-70	9340 cde	0.92 bc	66.3 a	50.8 bc	18.9 abcd	2291 abcd	3393 b
Nonpareil-7	9517 cd	0.92 bc	67.9 a	48.1 bcde	19.3 abcd	2332 abcd	3272 bc
Marcona	6938 fg	1.08 a	29.8 f	52.8 bc	16.5 def	1995 def	3252 bcd
Kahl	9594 cd	0.91 bc	47.6 e	65.2 a	19.3 abcd	2332 abcd	3222 bcd
Nonpareil-J	9137 cde	0.89 bcd	65.5 a	44.4 cde	17.8 bcde	2152 bcde	3218 bcd
Nonpareil-6	8396 def	0.94 b	67.1 a	43.3 cde	17.4 cde	2103 cde	3178 bcd
Chips	7681 defg	0.87 cd	54.4 d	38.9 def	14.7 ef	1780 ef	2766 bcd
Kochi	6006 g	1.08 a	59.4 bc	37.9 ef	14.3 ef	1729 ef	2694 de
Sweetheart	6767 fg	0.89 bcd	66.6 a	31.2	13.1 f	1588 f	2165 e

2008				Kernel pounds per			
Variety	No. of nuts/tree	Average kernel wt (g)	Shelling percentage	unit PAR int.	Tree	Acre	Cumulative kernel yield (lbs/acre)
2-19e	13472 a	0.93 g	54.3 d	56.5 bcde	27.4 cd	3321 cd	7795 a
Nonpareil-Nico	13879 a	1.10 cd	66.0 a	67.5 a	33.5 a	4056 a	7567 ab
Nonpareil-3-8-2-70	12506 bcd	1.17 cd	66.3 a	66.0 a	30.7 b	3714 b	7106 bc
Nonpareil-5	12883 ab	1.08 de	67.0 a	63.9 ab	30.5 b	3692 b	7102 bc
Nonpareil-Newell	11916 bcd	1.09 de	67.3 a	57.3 bcde	28.6 cd	3456 cd	7086 bc
Nonpareil-Driver	12729 abc	1.07 de	65.6 a	62.5 abc	29.8 bc	3611 bc	7085 bc
Nonpareil-7	13250 ab	1.06 de	67.9 a	62.3 abc	31.1 ab	3763 ab	7035 bc
Winters	9872 e	1.02	60.2 b	53.4 def	22.1 fg	2670 g	6843 c
Nonpareil-6	10707 de	1.16 c	67.1 a	54.7 cde	27.3 cd	3300 cd	6478 cd
Nonpareil-J	11071 d	1.09 cde	65.5 a	54.6 cde	26.6 de	3224 de	6442 cd
Kahl	10720 de	0.96 fg	47.6 e	61.2 abcd	22.6 fg	2733 fg	5954 de
Chips	11465 cd	0.97 fg	54.4 d	51.8 ef	24.4 ef	2956 ef	5722 ef
Sweetheart	13149 ab	0.82 g	66.6 a	45.3 f	23.9 ef	2893 ef	5059 g
Marcona	4721 f	1.39 a	29.8 f	36.9	14.5 h	1748 h	5001 g
Kochi	5882 f	1.28 b	59.5 bc	35.0	16.5 h	2002 h	4696 g

Table 3. Number of nuts per tree, average kernel weight, shelling percentage, kernel pounds per unit of photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) intercepted, kernel pound per acre, and cumulative kernel pounds per acre for the 2009 through 2012 seasons. Data for each year is sorted by cumulative yield.

2009		Average kernel wt	Shelling	Kernel pounds per			Cumulative kernel
Variety	No. of nuts/tree	(g)	percentage	unit PAR int.	Tree	Acre	yield (lbs/acre)
Nonpareil-Nico	13773 ab	1.05 bcd	74.7 ab	69.3 abcd	31.8 ab	3851 a	11417 a
Nonpareil-Newell	14513 a	1.03 bcd	74.8 ab	72.8 abc	33.1 a	4004 a	11090 a
2-19e	14706 a	0.84 f	65.6 f	71.6 abc	27.1 c	3285 c	11080 a
Nonpareil-Driver	13856 ab	1.08 ab	75.8 a	76.1 ab	32.9 a	3977 a	11062 a
Nonpareil-3-8-2-70	13756 ab	1.04 bcd	74.6 ab	71.8 abc	31.4 ab	3798 ab	10905 abc
Nonpareil-7	13051 ab	1.03 bcd	72.6 abc		29.5 bc	3571 bc	10606 abc
Nonpareil-5	12070 bcd	1.08 ab	74.2 ab	68.5 abcd	28.7 bc	3476 bc	10577 abc
Nonpareil-6	13505 ab	1.02 bcd	71.2 cd	68.9 abcd	30.2 abc	3661 abc	10139 bc
Nonpareil-J	12803 abc	1.04 bcd	71.6 bcd	63.4 bcde	29.0 bc	3513 bc	9955 cd
Winters	9434 ef	0.96 bcde	61.6 g	63.8 bcde	20.0 e	2415 e	9258 de
Kahl	11035 cde	0.87 ef	59.1 g	79.2 a	21.1 de	2559 de	8513 ef
Chips	9771 ef	0.93 def	58.6 g	55.9 de	20.0 e	2422 e	8144 ef
Sweetheart	12798 abc	0.85 ef	73.3 abc	59.6 cde	24.0 d	2906 d	7965 fg
Marcona	8977 fg	1.07 abc	32.5 h	77.7 a	21.2 de	2562 de	7563 gh
Kochi	7252 g	1.17 a	68.9 de	52.6 e	18.7 e	2259 e	6955 h

2010		Average kernel wt	Shelling	Kernel pounds per			Cumulative kernel
Variety	No. of nuts/tree	(g)	percentage	unit PAR int.	Tree	Acre	yield (lbs/acre)
Nonpareil-Nico	9521 abc	1.24 abcdef	72.5 ab	49.7 a	25.9 a	3141 a	14558 a
Nonpareil-Newell	8429 cde	1.31 ab	73.6 a	45.2 abc	24.2 a	2931 a	14022 ab
Nonpareil-3-8-2-70	8823 bcd	1.28 abcd	72.3 ab	47.1 ab	24.9 a	3011 a	13916 ab
Nonpareil-Driver	8368 cde	1.28 abcd	71.0 ab	46.2 abc	23.6 a	2849 a	13911 ab
Nonpareil-7	10612 ab	1.16 bcdef	69.8 ab	49.4 a	27.1 a	3282 a	13916 ab
Nonpareil-5	9410 abc	1.24 abcde	72.3 ab	50.8 a	25.8 a	3130 a	13708 abc
Nonpareil-6	9499 abc	1.21 abcdef	71.8 ab	48.7 ab	25.5 a	3081 a	13220 bc
2-19e	6833 efg	1.10 bcdef	56.1 e	33.7 def	16.7 bc	2020 bc	13100 bc
Nonpareil-Jones	8315 cde	1.23 abcdef	70.9 ab	43.8 abc	22.6 a	2737 a	12691 c
Winters	6601 efg	1.11 bcdef	60.7 cde	38.5 bcde	16.0 bc	1945 bc	11203 d
Chips	9089 abc	1.15 bcdef	65.9 abc	48.4 a	23.0 a	2789 a	10933 d
Sweetheart	10915 a	0.80 g	71.8 ab	42.2 abcd	23.4 a	2839 a	10804 d
Kahl	7587 cde	1.01 f	56.5 de	43.4 abcd	16.9 b	2048 c	10561 d
Marcona	5073 gh	1.28 abc	26.2 g	36.7 cdef	14.4 bc	1745 bc	9308 e
Kochi	3902 h	1.40 a	64.4 bcd	23.5 g	12.1 bc	1466 bc	8421 e

2011		Average kernel wt	Shelling	Kernel pounds per			Cumulative kernel
Variety	No. of nuts/tree	(g)	percentage	unit PAR int.	Tree	Acre	yield (lbs/acre)
Nonpareil-Nico	18776 a	0.99 bcde	68.0 abc	90.1 a	41.0 a	4964 a	19523 a
Nonpareil-3-8-2-70	17744 abc	1.05 bc	70.7 a	79.5 ab	41.0 a	4962 a	18878 ab
Nonpareil-Newell	17790 abc	1.00 bcd	70.1 ab	69.4 abc	39.2 a	4745 a	18767 abc
Nonpareil-Driver	17943 ab	0.98 bcde	66.0 abcd	72.8 ab	38.7 a	4683 a	18593 abc
Nonpareil-7	17078 abcd	0.83 e	69.2 abc	72.3 ab	31.4 a	4555 a	18443 abc
Nonpareil-5	15744 de	1.03 bc	70.4 ab	70.2 abc	35.9 a	4342 a	18050 bcd
Nonpareil-6	16630 bcde	1.04 bc	70.0 ab	74.7 ab	38.2 a	4619 a	17838 bcd
2-19e	18253 ab	0.91 bcde	64.8 abcd	65.2 bcd	36.8 a	4460 a	17560 cd
Nonpareil-Jones	16993 abcd	0.96 bcde	70.0 ab	70.0 abc	36.0 a	4360 a	17051 d
Winters	15979 cde	0.83 e	58.7 ef	56.8 cde	29.4 b	3554 b	14757 e
Sweetheart	14969 e	0.86 de	64.1 bcde	47.3 cde	28.2 bc	3412 bc	14215 e
Chips	11901 f	0.94 bcde	60.3 de	44.7 de	24.7 bcd	2985 bcd	13918 e
Kahl	12420 f	0.89 cde	53.5 f	45.6 de	24.4 bcd	2953 bcd	13514 e
Marcona	9633 g	1.07 b	30.8 g	45.0 de	22.7 d	2746 d	12054 f
Kochi	8701 g	1.22 a	63.5 cde	9.4 e	23.3 d	2825 d	11247 f

2012		Average kernel wt	Shelling	Kernel pounds per			Cumulative kernel
Variety	No. of nuts/tree	(g)	percentage	unit PAR int.	Tree	Acre	yield (lbs/acre)
Nonpareil-Nico	9520 b	1.13 de	67.7 bcd	75.0 ab	23.6 a	2861 a	22384 a
Nonpareil-3-8-2-70	8530 b	1.20 bc	70.9 bc	75.6 ab	22.6 ab	2733 ab	21611 ab
Nonpareil-Newell	8481 b	1.15 cde	66.9 bcd	76.8 a	21.2 abc	2563 abc	21329 ab
Nonpareil-Driver	8606 b	1.18 bcd	67.6 bcd	73.8 ab	22.3 ab	2695 ab	21288 ab
Nonpareil-7	9262 b	1.14 cde	85.2 a	76.7 a	23.2 a	2811 a	21254 abc
Nonpareil-5	8090 bc	1.19 bcd	69.0 bcd	74.1 ab	21.2 abc	2563 abc	20613 bc
Nonpareil-6	11507 a	0.94 h	59.6 cd	69.3 c	23.8 a	2881 a	20441 bc
2-19e	7617 bc	1.19 bcd	69.4 bcd	75.7 ab	20.1 abc	2432 abc	20270 bc
Nonpareil-Jones	8855 b	1.18 bcd	67.7 bcd	73.3 b	23.0 ab	2783 ab	19833 c
Winters	8679 b	1.01 g	61.9 bcd	61.0 d	19.3 abc	2338 abc	17095 d
Sweetheart	8653 b	1.10 ef	59.8 cd	68.5 c	21.0 abc	2538 abc	16456 d
Chips	9008 b	0.92 h	75.3 ab	76.2 ab	18.2 bc	2201 bc	16416 d
Kahl	8830 b	1.05 fg	55.0 d	57.5 e	20.4 abc	2465 abc	15979 d
Marcona	6449 c	1.22 b	65.5 bcd	74.5 ab	17.4 c	2104 c	13351 e
Kochi	2025 d	1.41 a	26.0 e	60.0 de	6.3 d	763 d	12816 e



Figure 1. Bloom data for the McFarland Trial for the 2010-2012 seasons. Cross hatched area indicates onset of bloom to 100% petal fall. “F” indicates full bloom.



Figure 2. Average bloom data (2006 to 2012) for the McFarland Trial. Cross hatched area indicates onset of bloom to 100% petal fall. “F” indicates full bloom.

2010



2011



2012



Figure 3. Hullsplit progression by variety and Nonpareil source for 2010-2012 seasons at the McFarland trial.



Figure 4. Average hullsplit progression by variety and Nonpareil source for 2006 to 2012 seasons at the McFarland trial.



Figure 5. Average yield for all varieties, selections, and Nonpareil sources by orchard age for the 1993 Butte, Delta, and Kern Regional Variety Trials as well as the McFarland Variety Trial that was planted in 2004. Number in parenthesis after trial name is number of trees per acre.



Figure 6. 2006 to 2012 pollenizer cumulative yield versus 2006 to 2012 adjacent Nonpareil rows cumulative yield (a) and 2006 to 2011 pollinizer average cumulative yield versus 2006 to 2012 cumulative yield of pollinizer plus adjacent Nonpareil rows (b).



Figure 7. Yield per unit PAR intercepted by variety and year (kernel pounds per 1% light intercepted). Number following variety name in legend indicates the four year average value. Average for all varieties and years was 51.8. A value of 50 is what we have found the best orchards can average over several years.