Field Evaluation of Almond Varieties

Project No.:	10-HORT2-Lampinen
Project Leader:	Bruce Lampinen Department of Plant Sciences UC Davis One Shields Ave. Davis, CA 95616 bdlampinen@ucdavis.edu
Project Cooperators:	Tom Gradziel, Sam Metcalf, William Stewart, Maria Contador, Mary Ann Thorpe, and Warren Micke – UC Davis Joe Connell, UCCE - Butte County Paul Verdegaal, UCCE - San Joaquin County Mario Viveros and Peggy Shrader, UCCE - Kern County The Billings Ranches - Kern County

Objectives:

The objective of this project is to evaluate performance of pollenizers and Nonpareil clones in a replicated field trial in McFarland, California. Assessment includes relative yield performance as well as bloom dates, maturity dates, disease/insect susceptibility, and other factors like any development of bud failure in the Nonpareil clones.

A secondary objective is to use the replicated data on light interception and yield to assess the relative productivity per unit of light intercepted for the Nonpareil clones, varieties and selections.

Interpretive Summary:

Yields at the McFarland trial continue to be high compared to the 1993 regional almond variety trials. The McFarland trial reached an average production of 3000 kernel pounds per acre in the 5th growing season which was six years earlier than the 1993 Kern RAVT while neither the 1993 Butte or 1993 Delta trials ever reached this level of production. In order to develop the canopy this quickly, water and other inputs need to be quite high and this has resulted in high disease pressure (especially hullrot). Because this trial is replicated, unlike the earlier regional almond variety trials, we have the opportunity to more accurately assess yield differences among the Nonpareil clones, varieties and selections. In addition, because we have detailed light interception data, we can assess whether differences in productivity per unit light intercepted. Yields in 2010 were down slightly from 2009 but this was expected since 2009 yields were very high for the canopy size of the orchard. Hull rot continued to be the major disease related problem

with the highest levels in Kochi and Winters with the Nonpareil clones having intermediate hull rot pressure.

Materials and Methods:

This report will concentrate on a replicated variety trial of eight varieties and eight Nonpareil clones that was planted in 2004 in Kern County near McFarland. Soils at the site consist of McFarland loam and Wasco sandy loam (both Class I soils). The irrigation system is double line drip. Tree spacing is 20 feet between tree rows and 18 feet between trees for a density of 121 trees per acre. Varieties planted included Chips, Kahl, Kochi, Marcona, Selection 2-19e, Sweetheart and Winters, Nonpareil clones planted include Nonpareil 3-8-2-70, Nonpareil 5, Nonpareil 6, Nonpareil 7, Nonpareil Dr., Nonpareil-J, Nonpareil-Newell and Nonpareil-Nico. There are six replications of each variety and Nonpareil clone with 34 trees per replication. Pollenizer and Nonpareil rows alternate in the orchard.

In 2010, data was collecting on bloom and maturity timing, disease pressure (scab, *Alternaria* and hull rot), yield and midday canopy light interception in mid-summer.

Results and Discussion:

Weather during bloom was generally good at the McFarland trial site. Bloom was generally advanced by 1-3 days in 2010 compared to 2009 and 2008 (**Table 1**). Sweetheart and Marcona were the varieties to reach full bloom the earliest and Kochi and Selection 2-19e were the latest. Bloom overlap was very good in 2009 and 2010.

Initiation of hullsplit was late in 2010. Nonpareil hullsplit began from between July 20-22 (**Table 2**). Hullsplit for Winters did not start until Aug. 22 and for Marcona on Aug. 31 (**Table 2**).

In 2010, scab was only observed on Winters and Nonpareil while Alternaria was not a problem at the McFarland trial (**Table 3**). There was substantial hullrot in all varieties and selections with the most severe disease pressure in Kochi and Winters (**Table 3**). Kochi also displayed severe hullrot in 2008 and 2009. There was also substantial hull rot in all the Nonpareil clones in 2010 (**Table 3**).

The grower started ripping every other row center in 2009 and this has resulted in significant improvements in water penetration in the orchard.

Yield data for the McFarland trial from the 2006 through 2010 seasons are shown in **Table 4**. Nonpareil-Nico, Nonpareil-Newell, Nonpareil 3-8-2-70, Nonpareil-Driver, Nonpareil-5 and Nonpareil-7 have had significantly higher cumulative yields than all of the other varieties and selections (**Table 4**). Selection 2-19e, which had some of the highest yields in the early years of the trial had significantly lower cumulative yield compared to all of the Nonpareil clones except Nonpareil 6 and Nonpareil 7 in 2010 (**Table 4**). The replication provided in this trial adds much value to the data compared

to earlier unreplicated variety trials but it comes at a cost in terms of the time required for maintaining, rating and harvesting the plots.

The yields from this trial continue to be high for the age of the orchard. **Figure 1** shows average yield by orchard age for all varieties, selections and Nonpareil sources for the Butte, Delta and Kern trials planted in 1993 compared to the McFarland trial planted in 2004. The McFarland trial reached an average production of 3000 kernel pounds per acre six years earlier than the 1993 Kern RAVT while neither the Butte nor Delta trials ever reached this level of production (**Figure 1**).

The mobile platform light bar (described in report for Almond Board project titled "Development and Testing of a Mobile Platform for Measuring Canopy Light Interception and Stress in Almond") was used to measure midday canopy light interception in the McFarland trial on July 28-30, 2010. Table 4 shows the photosythetically active (PAR) interception, yield and yield per unit PAR intercepted (2020 column labeled "unit PAR int.") by variety for the 2010 season. The productivity per unit canopy light interception was guite high for the size of the tree in 2009 (ranging from 52 to 85 kernel pounds per unit light intercepted – data not shown) and was still fairly high in 2010 (ranging from 23 to 51 kernel pounds per unit light intercepted - Table 4) since our previous data has suggested somewhere around 50 pounds per unit light intercepted is near the normal maximum for almond. In general, varieties or selections that produced high yield per unit PAR intercepted also produced high yields overall (Figure 2). Perhaps this is because the same factors that result in more rapid growth in the tree canopy (as measured by PAR interception) also result in more productivity per unit light intercepted. An additional factor is canopy loss due to hull rot which was particularly pronounced in Kochi.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank the Almond Board of California for their continued support of this project. We also want to thank the Billings Ranches for their excellent cooperation in managing and maintaining this trial.

Table 1. Bloom data for the McFarland Trial for the 2008, 2009 and 2010 seasons.Crosshatched area indicates period from onset of bloom to 100% petalfall.

														1	3100	om .	200	8																			
									Fel	bru	ary																1	Mai	rch								
	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
Marcona																	F																				
Chips																		F																			
Winters																		F																			
Sweetheart																		F																			
Kahl																			F																		
Nonpareil-7																		F																			
Nonpareil-Newell																		F																			
Nonpareil-Driver																		F																			
Nonpareil-6																		F																			
Nonpareil-2-70																		F																			
Nonpareil-Jones																		F																			
Nonpareil-5																			F																		
Nonpareil-Nico																			F																		
Kochi																			F																		
2-19E																				F																	

Bloom 2009

														-	510	um.	200	-																			
		February															,	Mai	rch																		
	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
Marcona															F																						
Chips																		F																\Box			
Winters																		F																			
Sweetheart															F																						
Kahl																		F																			
Nonpareil-7																	F																				
Nonpareil-Newell																	F																	\Box			
Nonpareil-Driver																	F																				
Nonpareil-6																	F																	\Box			
Nonpareil-2-70																	F																	\Box			
Nonpareil-Jones																	F																	\Box			
Nonpareil-5																	F																	\Box			
Nonpareil-Nico																		F																			
Kochi																			F																		
2-19E																				F																	

	February											March																									
	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	2	22 2	23	24 2	5 2	26 2	27 2	8 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
Marcona										F																		L									
Chips															F																						
Winters									_							F												L									
Sweetheart												F										L															
Price														ĺ		F		ĺ				L				L											
Kahl																F																					
Nonpareil-7														1	F																						
Nonpareil-Newell															F																						
Nonpareil-Driver																F						L															
Nonpareil-6																F						L															
Nonpareil-2-70																F																					
Nonpareil-Jones															F																						
Nonpareil-5									_		,		,			F					Ĩ			Ĩ	Ĭ		Ĭ										
Nonpareil-Nico	Ì															F					Ĺ	Ĺ		Ĺ	Ĭ	Ĺ	Ĭ	Ĩ	Ĺ								
Kochi																		F				L															
2-19E	1	1						1										F					1	1		1		1	Г	Γ							
Onset of Bloom	1					F	ull	Bloc	m							100%	6 P	etal	Fal	1																	

Chilling hours from November 1, 2009 to February 28, 2010 1039



Table 2. Onset of hullsplit in 2010 at the McFarland trial.

Table 3. Scab rating, *Alternaria* rating, and hull rot strikes per tree for the 2010 season at the McFarland trial. Letters indicate significant difference at the 5% level of significance

	Scab Rating		Alternaria rating		Hull Rot Strikes
selection 2-19e	0.00 a	Chips	0.00 a	Kahl	8.33 a
Chips	0.00 a	Kahl	0.00 a	Sweetheart	11.00 a
Kahl	0.00 a	Kochi	0.00 a	Marcona	13.33 a
Kochi	0.00 a	Marcona	0.00 a	selection 2-19e	18.83 a
Marcona	0.00 a	Nonpareil 3-8-2-70	0.00 a	Price	23.01 a
Nonpareil 3-8-2-70	0.00 a	Nonpareil-5	0.00 a	Chips	24.00 a
Nonpareil-6	0.00 a	Nonpareil-6	0.00 a	Nonpareil-Nico	30.67 a
Nonpareil-7	0.00 a	Nonpareil-7	0.00 a	Nonpareil 3-8-2-70	61.33 a
Nonpareil-DR	0.00 a	Nonpareil-DR	0.00 a	Nonpareil-J	62.67 a
Nonpareil-J	0.00 a	Nonpareil-J	0.00 a	Nonpareil-5	65.17 a
Nonpareil-Newell	0.00 a	Nonpareil-Newell	0.00 a	Nonpareil-7	72.67 a
Nonpareil-Nico	0.00 a	Nonpareil-Nico	0.00 a	Nonpareil-6	82.83 a
Price	0.00 a	Price	0.00 a	Nonpareil-Newell	83.67 a
Sweetheart	0.00 a	selection 2-19e	0.00 a	Nonpareil-DR	98.17 a
Nonpareil-5	1.00 a	Sweetheart	0.00 a	Kochi	262.00 b
Winters	2.00 b	Winters	0.00 a	Winters	539.67 c

Table 4. Number of nuts per tree, average kernel weight, shelling percentage, kernel pound per acre, cumulative kernel pounds per acre for the 2006 through 2010 seasons at McFarland trial. Data for each year is sorted by cumulative yield.

2007						Cumulative	
			Shelling	Kernel po	ounds per	kernel yield	
Variety	No. of nuts/tree	Average kernel wt (g)	percentage	Tree	Acre	(lbs/acre)	
2-19e	13149 a	0.78 e	54.3 d	22.8 a	2756 a	4474 a	
Winters	11972 ab	0.83 de	60.2 b	21.8 ab	2634 ab	4173 a	
Nonpareil-Newell	10659 bc	0.90 bc	67.3 a	20.9 abc	2536 abc	3626 b	
Nonpareil-Nico	9260 cde	0.92 bc	66.0 a	18.8 abcde	2279 abcde	3511 b	
Nonpareil-Driver	9793 cd	0.91 bc	65.6 a	19.6 abcd	2370 abcd	3474 b	
Nonpareil-3-8-2-70	9340 cde	0.92 bc	66.3 a	18.9 abcde	2291 abcde	3393 b	
Nonpareil-5	8905 cdef	0.95 b	67.0 a	18.6 abcde	2251 bcde	3323 bc	
Marcona	6938 fg	1.08 a	29.8 f	16.5 defg	1995 defg	3252 bcd	
Kahl	9594 cd	0.91 bc	47.6 e	19.3 abcd	2332 abcd	3222 bcd	
Nonpareil-J	9137 cde	0.89 bcd	65.5 a	17.8 bcde	2152 bcdef	3218 bcd	
Nonpareil-6	8396 def	0.94 b	67.1 a	17.4 def	2103 def	3178 bcd	
Nonpareil-7	9517 cd	0.92 bc	67.9 a	19.3 abcd	2332 abcd	3140 bcd	
Chips	7681 detg	0.87 cd	54.4 d	14.7 efg	1780 efg	2766 bcd	
Kochi	6006 g	1.08 a	59.4 bc	14.3 tg	1/29 tg	2694 de	
Sweetneart	6/6/ fg	0.89 bcd	66.6 a	13.1 g	1588 g	2165 e	J
2008						Cumulative	
]	Shelling	Kernel po	ounds per	kernel yield	
Variety	No. of nuts/tree	Average kernel wt (g)	percentage	Tree	Acre	(lbs/acre)	
2-19e	13472 a	0.93 g	54.3 d	27.5 cd	3321 cd	7795 a	
Nonpareil-Nico	13879 a	1.10 cd	66.0 a	33.5 a	4056 a	7567 ab	
Nonpareil-Newell	11916 bcd	1.09 de	67.3 a	28.6 cd	3456 cd	7110 bc	
Nonpareil-3-8-2-70	12506 bcd	1.17 cd	66.3 a	30.7 b	3714 b	7106 bc	
Nonpareil-Driver	12729 abc	1.07 de	65.6 a	29.8 bc	3611 bc	7085 bc	
Nonpareil-5	12883 ab	1.08 de	67.0 a	30.5 b	3692 b	7001 bc	
Winters	9872 e	1.02	60.2 b	22.1 fg	2670 fg	6843 c	
Nonpareil-7	13250 ab	1.06 de	67.9 a	31.1 ab	3763 ab	6802 c	
Nonpareil-6	10707 de	1.16 c	67.1 a	27.3 cd	3300 cd	6478 cd	
Nonpareil-J	11071 d	1.09 cde	65.5 a	26.6 de	3224 de	6442 cd	
Kahl	10720 de	0.96 fg	47.6 e	22.6 fg	2733 fg	5954 de	
Chips	11465 cd	0.97 fg	54.4 d	24.4 ef	2956 ef	5722 e	
Sweetheart	13149 ab	0.82 g	66.6 a	23.9 ef	2893 ef	5059 f	
Marcona	4721 f	1.39 a	29.8 f	14.4 h	1748 h	5001 f	
Kochi	5882 f	1.28 b	59.5 bc	16.5 h	2002 h	4996 f	
2000						A 1.4	1
2009			a	Kamala		Cumulative	
			Shelling	Kernel po	ounas per	kernel yield	
Variety	No. of nuts/tree	Average kerner wt (g)	percentage	Iree	Acre	(Ibs/acre)	
Nonparell-Nico	13773 ab	1.05 DCd	74.7 ab	32.9 a	3977 a	11417 a	
Nonparell-Newell	14513 a	1.03 DCd	74.8 ab	33.1 a	4004 a	11145 ab	
2-19e	14706 a	0.84 T	65.6 T	27.1 C	3285 C	11080 ab	
Nonparell-Driver	13850 ab	1.08 ab	75.8 a	32.9 a	39/7 a	1002 ab	
Nonparell-5-6-2-70	13730 ab	1.04 DCu	74.0 ab	31.4 dD	3/90 aD	10905 abc	
Nonparell-3	12070 bcu	1.06 ab	74.2 ab	20.7 DC	3470 DC	10494 DCU 10202 bod	
Nonparell-7	13031 ab	1.03 bcd	72.0 abc	29.5 DC	3661 abc	10393 DCu	
Nonpareil	12803 abo	1.02 bod	71.6 bod	20.0 bo	3513 bo	0055 do	1
Winters	9434 of		61.6 ~	20.0 00	2415	9258 of	1
Kahl	11035 cde	0.87 of	59.1 g	21.0 G	2559 de	8513 fr	1
Chips	9771 of	0.93 def	58.6 g	20.0	2422	8144 ah	1
Sweetheart	12798 abc	0.85 ef	73.3 abc	24.0 d	2906 d	7965 dh	1
Marcona	8977 fg	1.07 abc	32.5	21.2 de	2562 de	7563 hi	
Kochi	7252 g	1.17 a	68.9 de	18.7 e	2259 e	6955 i	1
							-
2010			Shelling		Kernel pounds per		Cumulative kernel
Variety	No. of nuts/tree	Average kernel wt (g)	percentage	unit PAR int.	Tree	Acre	yield (lbs/acre)
Nonpareil-Nico	9521.8 abc	1.24 abcdef	72.5 ab	49.7 ab	25.9 ab	3141 ab	14558 a
Nonpareil-Newell	8429.4 cde	1.31 ab	73.6 a	45.2 abc	24.2 ab	2931 ab	14099 ab
Nonpareil-3-8-2-70	8823.4 bcd	1.28 abcd	72.3 ab	47.0 ab	24.8 ab	3011 ab	13915 abc
Nonpareil-Driver	8368.2 cde	1.28 abcd	71.0 ab	46.2 abc	23.5 ab	2849 ab	13910 abc
Nonpareil-5	9410.2 abc	1.24 abcde	72.3 ab	50.8 a	25.8 ab	3130 ab	13579 abc
Nonpareil-7	10611.8 ab	1.16 bcdef	69.8 ab	49.4 ab	27.1 a	3282 a	13510 abc
Nonpareil-6	9498.9 abc	1.21 abcdef	71.8 ab	48.7 ab	25.4 ab	3081 ab	13219 bc
2-19e	6832.8 efg	1.10 bcdef	56.1 e	33.7 e	16.6 cd	2020 cd	13100 bc
Nonpareil-Jones	8314.7 cde	1.23 abcdef	70.9 ab	43.8 abcd	22.6 b	2737 b	12691 c
Winters	6601.3 efg	1.11 bcdef	60.7 cde	38.5 cde	16.0 de	1945 cd	11203 d
Chips	9089.0 abc	1.15 bcdef	65.9 abc	48.4 ab	23.0 b	2789 b	10933 d
Sweetheart	10915.5 a	0.80 g	71.8 ab	42.1 bcd	19.3 ab	2803 ab	10768 de
Kahl	7587.0 cde	1.01 f	56.5 de	43.4 abcd	16.9 c	2048 c	10561 de
Marcona	5072.7 gh	1.28 abc	26.2	36.7 de	14.4 cde	1745 cde	9307 fg
	-						



Figure 1. Average yield for all varieties, selections and Nonpareil sources by orchard age for the 1993 Butte, Delta and Kern Regional Variety Trials as well as the McFarland variety trial that was planted in 2004.



Figure 2. Yield per unit photosynthetically active radiation intercepted versus variety yield at McFarland trial.