Project No. PB-2 Boron deficiency and Toxicity in almond Project Leader: Patrick Brown, UC Davis Cooperating Personnel: Agnes Nyomora and Farm advisors

Objectives Summary:

1 -To optimize the commercial rate of B application in almond.

2 -To determine the optimal time of B foliar application.

3 -To determine the appropriate tissue and sampling time for boron diagnosis.

4- To assess the effect of rootstocks and cultivars on boron accumulation, tolerance and or resistance to boron deficiency and toxicity.

5- To determine the effect of tissue B concentration on tree response to foliar B application.

6- To study the effect of yield on hull B concentration.

Results

Objective No.1- Optimization of the commercial rate of B application in almond.

The experiment was initiated in September,1996. Five rates 0, 1, 2, 3, and 5 lb Solubor/100 gallons of water equivalent to 0, 245, 490, 735 and 1225 ppm B as well as 2 rates of Borosol at 245 and 490 ppm B were applied to 15 years old Nonparel/Carmel/ almond Orchard in Modesto, Stanslaus County. Applications were made to 0.2 acre blocks using a commercial speed sprayer at the standard 100 gallon/acre rate. Results will be determined in Spring and at harvest in 1997.

Objective No.2- Determination of the optimal rate and time of B application

Five rates of applications i.e. 0, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5 lb solubor/100gal, equivalent to 0, 245, 368,490, 613 ppm B were tested on 10 year old Butte' trees alternated with Carmel' and Peerless' pollinizers. Applications were made by handgun sprayer at a rate of 4 gallon/tree equivalent to 400 gallon/acre. Three dates of application namely early hull split (12 August), postharvest (27 September) and late bud swell (15 February) were tested in 1994/95 and 1995/96 in Orland, Glen County. Fruit set and yield data were available from all the treatments only during 1995/96 season. B concentration in almond buds sampled in February was highest in trees treated during bud swell in February (1996), followed by postharvest (September 1995) and hull split (August 1995) respectively (Table 1) thus, the later the B treatment, the higher the B concentration in the buds. Bud B concentration increased significantly with increasing rates of foliar applied B when applications were made in February. Only the highest rate of application significantly increased the bud B concentration of trees treated in August while no significant difference in bud B concentration due to rates of application was observed from trees sprayed in September.

Fruit set responded positively to increased B application at all application dates. Set increase of as much as 40% were observed with the highest rate of B application when applied in August or February. Though an increase in set percentage is a necessary precursor to increased yield, other unknown factors may ultimately determine the percentage of set fruit that remain on the tree at harvest. Foliar B sprays clearly result in increased fruit set and hence provide the potential for increased field.

When applications were made in September and February, yield expressed as weight and number of nuts/tree increased with increasing B concentration in the spray solution and was maximal at 2.5 lb (Table 1). In contrast, B application in August at 2.5 lb solubor/100 gallon resulted in yields equivalent to unsprayed trees and 25% less than trees sprayed with 1.5 lb solubor /100 gallon. This could indicate that higher doses of B are required when applications are to be done during post harvest or bud swell in September and February, respectively (Table 1) while lower doses of B are required when application is to be made before harvest. In a similar experiment in Fresno during the 1993/94 season, postharvest application (30 September) was more effective in increasing the tissue B concentration and yield than winter (dormant buds in December) and spring (early popcorn buds in late February) applications. This year's results differ from the earlier observations from Fresno. This may have been due to differences in flower development at the two stages. Regardless of the cause, there is clearly a need for replication of this experiment.

Objective No.3- To determine the appropriate tissue and sampling time for boron diagnosis.

Detailed sampling of the leaves, buds and fruits have been conducted and will be compared with yield in 1997.

Objective No.4- Assessment of the effect of rootstocks and cultivars on boron accumulation.

This experiment was conducted at Nickles Farm in Arbuckle on a 21 year old almond orchard. Nonpareil' was used as the test cultivar. Results in 1995 demonstrated that almond grown on peach- almond hybrid rootstocks (Bright's hybrid and I-82) accumulated significantly less B in hulls than those grafted on rootstocks Nemaguard' and Marianna'. The overall B concentration in the orchard was marginal and similar in both years (less than 100 ppm). In this years trial, hull B concentrations were generally lower than in 1995 and there was no significant difference in hull B concentrations between Bright's hybrid', 'I-82', Lovell' or Marianna'. Trees grown on Hansen536'almond peach, or almond rootstock had significantly lower hull B concentration. Lovell' and Marianna' had significantly lower yield than I-82', Hansen' and Bright's hybrid' in that order '(Table 2).

Objective No.5. Determination of the effect of tissue B concentration on tree response to foliar applied B

This objective will be completed upon collection and analysis of cumulative data of the last 4 years in addition to the data to be collected in 1997. This is an ongoing experiment.

Objective No.6. Effect of yield on hull B concentration.

Inadequate pollination at U.C.Davis and toxic B levels in Capay valley test orchards prevented completion of the experiment. This experiment needs to be conducted in an orchard having adequate B content and potentially high yielding. We are currently locating a suitable orchard for 1997 trials.

Conclusion.

Experiments conducted to date show that B application significantly improves fruit set and final yield of almond and that these positive yield responses occur in trees that do not show vegetative B deficiency symptoms. This implies that many Californian orchards grown in sandy soils could be suffering incipient B defiency. In addition to confirming the optimum time and rate of B application, statewide surveys of almond orchards is needed to determine the extent of the problem. Our experiments during 1994/95 suggested that the almond hull may be an appropriate tissue to determine the B status of the tree. Our efforts to verify this finding in 1996 was compromised by unusual weather conditions and an inadequate experimental site. There is a need to repeat this experiment since we now know that current diagnostic analysis based on leaf analysis is inadequate. There has been very widespread adoption of foliar B application. Many growers and PCA's have seen measurable yield increases. Questions remain as to optimum rates, timmings and tissue diagnosis. There is a clear need to resolve these outstanding issues to ensure this technology is used appropriately.

Time		B rate (lb/100 gal)	Bud B conc. (ppm) (%)		Fruit	Fruit set		Yield/tree		
							Weight (kg) No. fruit			
		0		75a		34a		21	5825	
		1.0		83a		38a		25	7270	
Hull split (Aug)	1.5		81a		44ab		27	7643		
		2.0		89a		42ab		23	6855	
		2.5		126b		46b		21	5981	
		0		81a		30		25	6736	
		1.0		92ab		34		25	7142	

Table 1: Effect of the time and rate of foliar B application on bud B concentration, initial fruit set and yield of almond cv Butte

Postharvest (Sept)	1.5 2.0 2.5		94ab 99ab 102b		32 41 39		26 28 29	7378 8213 8848
Bud swell (Feb) 1.5	0 1.0 2.0 2.5	138a	75a 111a 143ab 161a	50a	42a 46a 50ab 58b	26	27 26 6946 27 31	7503 6970 7146 9027

Means with the same letter within a column and date are not significantly different according to Fisher Protected LSD (P=0.05)

Table 2: Effect of rootstocks on tissue B concentration of almond cv 'Nonpareil'

Rootstock	Nutmeat (Kg/tree)		B concentration (ppm)			
Hansen536		24 a		560 b		
I-82		25 a		72 a		
Bright's hybrid		24a		74 a		
Mission almond	21 ab		58 b			
Nemaguard		20 ab		72 a		
Lovell peach		17 b		65 ab		
Marianna		17 b		61 ab		

Means with the same letter within a column are not significantly different according to Fisher Protected LSD (P=0.05)

Table 3: Effect of cro	o load on hull B concentation ((ppm) of almond cy Butte'
		(pp) == =============================

Time	Tissue		Treatments (Fruit load)					
			Contro	l Low	Mediu	m High		
Before Leaf thinning			46		54	55		55
(Apin) nun			1//		191	162		139
After thinning (August)Hull	Leaf	340	46	318	47 366	41	357	47