ANNUAL REPORT

Almond Board of California

Project 82-J9 (continuation of 81-K8)

ALMOND BOARD

Project:

Tree and Crop Research

Part A - Noninfectious Bud Failure (BF) & Bull Mission

Syndrome (BMS)

Part B - Genetic and Breeding Studies

Part C - Variety Evaluation

Cooperator:

University of California Department of Pomology

Davis, CA 95616

Project Leader:

Dr. D. E. Kester

Personnel:

R. N. Asay, L. Liu, L. Fenton (graduate student)

Dr. M. Habib (Egypt), S. Ruelas (graduate student)

Dr. A. H. Kuniyuki

Collaborators on RVT Plots:

UC Davis: Warren C. Micke, Cooperative Extension Specialist

Location	Plot Owner/Cooperator	Cooperative Extension
Kern Arbuckle	Warren Carter, Orchard Owner Nickels Estate	Mario Viveros, Bakersfield Tom Aldrich, Colusa
Durham	CA State University, Chico Richard Baldy Richard Jacobs	Joe Connell, Oroville
Manteca	Delta Junior College Gary Blomgren Dave Dias	Don Rough, Stockton
Fresno	CA State University, Fresno Allen Hewitt	Mark Freeman, Fresno

PART A: Noninfectious Bud Failure (BF) & Bull Mission Syndrom (BMS)

Objectives:

- BF: 1) To test specific biochemical techniques as "fingerprinting" procedures for unique proteins and nucleic acids associated with BF (in collaboration with Dr. A. Kuniyuki, Department of Pomology, Project No. 82-JA1).
 - 2) To continue tissue and shoot tip culture procedure studies as test procedures for BF.
 - 3) To continue field observations on BF distribution within and between plants in relation to temperature and moisture stress.
- BMS: 1) To continue to survey BMS in relation to variety, source identity, and cultural practices in order to establish cause of the problem.
 - 2) To apply "fingerprinting" techniques as they are developed to test if the same procedures for BF might be applicable to BMS.

PART B: Breeding and Genetic Studies

Objectives:

- 1) To study the segregation of BF gene in F₁ hybrid population of peach and almond into the F₂ generations in order to establish BF-free breeding lines.
- 2) To determine the segregation of the dwarf peach in F_1 and F_2 populations of almond and to establish the effect of the dwarf gene on the BF gene.
- 3) To determine the inheritance of thin shell, reduce tree size, precocity and self fertility in crosses of commercial almond varieties with wild almonds which have these characters.

PART C: Variety Evaluation

Objectives:

- 1) To obtain and evaluate data from regional variety test plots to be used to evaluate yield, performance and nut characteristics of test varieties.
- To begin work on a computer model for almond tree and yield forecasting.
- 3) To extend the selection indexing procedure to additional almond varieties and selections.

Interpretive Summary

PART A: Noninfectious Bud Failure (BF) & Bull Mission Syndrome (BMS)

BF investigations involve three main areas: a) studies on the physiological effects of the disorder, b) variation within varieties and c) inheritance studies. Bud culture assays made at regular intervals during summer and fall continue to show that the time that necrosis develops in vegetative buds, the severity of injury and possibly the amount of flowers initiated varies greatly in different years and with different soil moisture conditions. The high temperature pattern in summer 1981 produced significantly higher levels of BF in spring 1982 in contrast to the cool summer of 1980 producing less BF in spring 1981. Under the cool summer conditions of 1982 we have already seen less bud damage in experimental trees.

Although the several clonal selections of Nonpareil being studied continue to show no BF, the pattern of appearance in a long-term budwood source study (since 1972) and in commercial orchards indicate that the BF factor is latent in many (if not all) Nonpareil trees. Thus, emphasis is being placed on biochemical and genetic methods to identify the BF factor even in the absence of specific symptoms (see Project, Kuniyuki).

Bull Mission Syndrome (BMS) is so called because of the wide range of associated symptoms, including nonproductivity and modification of tree and nut characteristics. Nature of the nonproductivity and other symptoms in affected Mission, Carmel and Nonpareil was investigated in progeny trees grafted from source trees in commercial orchards. Specific virus-tested clones of Mission and Nonpareil distributed from FSPMS and represented in the RVT plots continue to show absence of BMS characteristics.

PART B: Breeding and Genetic Studies

Emphasis remains on the seed transmission of BF factor in progenies of both almond x almond BF and almond x peach. Thus, we find BF and RB (roughbark) individuals in specific progenies of both types of crosses but the $\rm F_1$ almond x peach is now the most interesting.

 F_1 peach x almond progenies of Nonpareil (both normal and BF), Carmel, Sel 3-63, Titan and certain other experimental selections produce varying percentages of BF offspring. Price, Butte, Padre (5-58) and certain experimental selections produced none.

In another part of the study hybrids of dwarf peach and BF almond did not produce BF offspring in the F₁ generation and trees were normal sized and not dwarf. F₂ populations from various individual F₁ parents are being grown and preliminary observations indicate that BF segregates in the F₂ generation. Dwarf and normal size segregates but detailed data on BF in these populations have not been obtained.

Other populations are being studied for shell characteristics but data have not been analyzed.

PART C: Variety Evaluation

Summary data sheets for each plot for 1982 is provided but more detailed analysis of accumulated information of each plot is in progress.

Five years of production data for varieties in the RVT plots at Kern and Arbuckle and four years at Chico indicate Nonpareil to be at a par with or better than other major pollinizers as Carmel, Merced, Price, Fritz, Harvey and others under the conditions of these plots. Butte was consistently the most productive.

Three new almond cultivars are being released for distribution: SOLANO (5A-3), SONORA (5A-20) and PADRE (5-58).

In the RVT plots, Padre shows consistently similar production to Mission. Solano and Sonora show some tendency to alternate, Sonora more than Solano.

Experimental Results

PART A: Noninfectious Bud Failure (BF) & Bull Mission Syndrome (BMS)

1. Seasonal Patterns of Symptoms

Severity of symptoms on BF affected experimental Nonpareil trees at Davis and Winters, California, and the amount of flowering in the spring has fluctuated greatly from year to year. Examination of developing buds shows that the proportion of flower buds and the percent of failing vegetative buds is determined prior to August and September of the preceding year. Necrotic buds appeared from August through November depending on location, year and irrigation treatment. Bud necrosis was extremely pronounced under moisture stress treatments. Severity of BF symptoms and reduced flower densely in spring 1982 occurred in these trees and can be directly associated to very high summer temperatures in 1981, an effect accentuated by moisture stress. In contrast, less BF severity and higher flower bud densities in spring 1981 can be directly related to cool temperatures in summer 1980 in these same plots. Very cool temperatures have again prevailed this summer (1982) and bud collections to date with Nonpareil show somewhat less tendency toward necrotic buds and higher flower bud densities.

These patterns parallel the occurrence of BF in spring 1982 and 1981 in commercial orchards in California. Very severe BF this spring (1982) has been reported, sometimes in orchards and trees where none had occurred previously.

2. Effect of Overhead Sprinkling in BF Trees

Mature trees were subjected to overhead sprinkling during June, July and August, 1980 and 1981 in an orchard in Kern County. Shoot samples were collected. Somewhat lesser necrosis of vegetative buds was observed in treated as compared to check and differences were slight and of uncertain significance. In the high temperatures of 1981, necrosis was severe. The picture seems to be that some benefit may result from cooling by sprinkling but of doubtful economic value.

3. Tissue and Bud Cultures

These studies have continued. Lou Fenton (graduate student) has maintained cell cultures of normal and BF Nonpareil for several years and has found differences in growth and temperature response. A new series of tissue and cell cultures were started this year from normal and BF clones of Jordanolo, Nonpareil and Harpareil. Significant differences in growth have been shown by the two types of cell lines in each variety. This phenomenon continues to indicate some basic differences between the normal and BF. These culture sources are being used in biochemical and genetic studies by Fenton and Kuniyuki (see Project, Kuniyuki).

4. Development of BF Within Nonpareil Clones and Sources

Separate source clones and nursery sources planted at the West Side Field Station have maintained the same relative BF patterns as established about 1974.

A long-term test of budwood from different source orchards in the Wasco and Manteca areas continued to show gradual increase in numbers of BF affected trees. A very slight increase from the previous year was observed in 1981 (spring) but a significantly larger increasee was evident in the inspection in spring 1982.

Nine separate Nonpareil clones growing in RVT plots have continued to show no BF symptoms. Analysis of yield records indicate no significant differences among this group.

PART B: Breeding and Genetic Studies

Segregation studies for BF in almond x peach and almond x almond are continuing. Data was obtained on flowering, tree character and nut maturity on two groups of almond seedling populations. One was a group of hybrids of almond and various almond species to study tree stature, growth habit, productivity and nut characters. The other was a group of Mission seedlings to study productivity. Nut samples were collected but data has not been analyzed.

Details of this project will not be given in this report since the analysis is still in progress. Some of the main findings are listed in the summary.

Fingerprinting studies have shown that characteristics bands of isozymes for particular enzymes are characteristic of particular varieties. These can be used for variety identification and genetic studies.

PART C: Variety Evaluation

Data on tree and nut characteristics were again obtained on varieties of the RVT plots in Kern, Colusa, Butte and San Joaquin Counties. The plot at Fresno is not yet in bearing. Carrying out the objectives of these plots and of this project is a complex affair involving cooperation and coordination from many individual and separate institutions. These are listed on an accompanying sheet.

Summary data sheets for each plot for 1982 is provided but more detailed analysis of accumulated information of each plot is in progress.

Three new almond cultivars are being released for distribution: SOLANO (5A-3), SONORA (5A-20) and PADRE (5-58).

Sonora blooms early, has a large sized, high quality smooth kernel with high shelling percentage. Solano blooms and cross-pollinates with Nonpareil and ripens very shortly afterward. It has a high quality, attractive kernel closely resembling Nonpareil. Padre blooms and cross-pollinates with Mission; it ripens shortly ahead. Kernel closely resembles Mission. It has a hard shell. Yielding potential has been good and consistent. It is compatible with Marianna 2624 rootstock.

Solano has yielded comparably with Nonpareil most years but may have more tendency to alternate, possibly due to bearing on long shoots. Sonora has yielded well when young due to tendency to bear on long shoos. Some tendency to alternate has been noted. Neither Solano nor Sonora should be planted on Marianna 2624 rootstock, although we do not have experience with Sonora on Marianna 2624.

Collaborators:

Mario Viveros, Cooperative Extension Kern Co.

D. E. Kester, Pomology UCD

W. Micke, Extension Specialist, UCD

Kern RVT Almond Trial 1982 Planted 1974 and 1976

Variety	Full Bloom dates	Mature date (1)	Number of Nuts Per Tree	Average S Kernel Size (no/oz)	Lbs. Per Tree	Estimate Yld/Acre (1bs.) 6 76 T/A	2	% Sealed	S	hape Thick- ness(cm.)	% Doubles	% Rejects	% Worms
variety	dates	date (1)	1100	(110/02)	1166	70 1/A	Kerner	beared	<u>W/H</u> (2)	ness (cm.)	Dodotes	Rejects	WOLING
Group I. Earl	Ly Bloo	<u>om</u>											
NePlus Ultra	2-22	8-14	5,993	20	19.5	1,485	63	82	50	.80	2	1	0
Sonora (5A-20)	2-25	8-19	5,879	20	18.9	1,433	73	76	48	.81	0	6	4
Jordanolo	2-18	8-19	3,951	18	14.4	1,095	64	60	46	.80	0	5	1
Group II. Mic	i-Bloom	<u>.</u>											
Nonpareil Clor	nes:												
Nonp. 3-8-5-72	2 2-25	8-12	15,476	24	39.7	3,016	65	78	56	.82	0	2	2
Nonp. 3-8-2-70		8-12	13,157	25	33.1	2,588	66	88	54	.81	2	0	0
Nonp. 3-8-6-72		8-12	11,251	24	29.7	2,256	64	74	55	.76	0	0	0
Nonp. 3-8-4-72		8-12	10,947	25	27.8	2,115	64	76	56	.81	0	0	0
Nonp. Comm.	2-25	8-12	10,026	24	27.5	2,159	65	64	55	.79	1	4	.5
Nonpareil Poli	linizer	's											
Granada	2-25	8-19	15,288	34	28.3	2,150	62	96	72	.87	0	6	0
Milow	2-25	8-12	14,246	33	27.7	2,104	73	98	59	.79	2	2	0
Carmel	2-28	9-11	11,513	27	26.9	2,047	57	100	49	.82	0	0	0
K-13N	2-25	8-19	11,495	29	24.8	1,884	58	74	62	.68	0	0	0
Robson	2-25	8-28	10,275	24	26.5	2,011	61	90	55	.87	0	0	0
Price	2-28	8-28	9,469	29	20.5	1,556	62	62	49	.81	0	4	0
Jeffries	2-25	8-19	9,877	26	20.1	1,831	64	68	56	.78	0	6	2
Solano (5A-3)	2-25	8-19	9,158	27	21.4	1,625	62	86	55	.79	0	0	0
Norman	2-25	8-28	8,767	29	19.5	1,479	58	80	62	.82	0	12	2
69-60	2-25	8-28	7,207	26	17.5	1,327	53	94	59	.73	0	2	0
Merced	2-28	9-4	7,175	24	18.7	1,419	65	90	60	.85	0	8	2
Vesta	2-28	8-12	7,102	26	17.3	1,314	55	86	53	.80	0	0	0

Kern RVT Almond Trial 1982 Planted 1974 and 1976

			Number	Average		Estimate	ed						
	Full		of Nuts	s Kernel	Lbs.	Yld/Acre	9		S	hape			
	Bloom	Mature	Per	Size	Per	(lbs.) (a %	%		Thick-	%	%	%
Variety	dates	date (1)	Tree	(no/oz)	Tree	76 T/A	<u>Kernel</u>	Sealed	W/L(2)	ness(cm.)	Doubles	Rejects	Worms
			- `										
Nonpareil Pol	linizer	s: (contin	ued)										
Harvey	2-28	9-4	6,764	28	15.4	1,173	65	86	55	.87	2	8	8
23-122	2-25	8-12	5,853	21	17.2	1,305	62	100	55	.77	0	2	0
Money Tree	2-22	8-19	4,160	17	15.5	1,177	67	42	48	.83	0	16	12
Profuse	2-28	8-5	1,051	20	3.4	260	60	50	58	.76	0	20	18
Group II Late	Bloom									ex.			
Mission Clone	s												
Miss. comm.	3-1	9-11	10,014	28	22.6	1,719	46	100	64	.97	3	1	0
Miss. 3-6-1-6	5 3-1	9-11	9,067	28	20.4	1,550	46	100	64	.96	1	1	0
Miss. 3-6-2-7	1 3-1	9-11	8,815	28	19.9	1,515	46	100	65	.93	0	0	0
Miss. 3-6-5-6	7 3-1	9-11	8,107	28	18.2	1,387	45	100	64	.94	10	0	0
Mission Polli	nizers:												
Ripon	3-4	8-28	13,509	32	26.8	2,041	47	100	62	.80	0	0	0
Fritz	3-2	9-11	12,911	27	30.0	2,282	55	94	55	.90	Ö	Ö	Ö
3-24E	3-1	8-19	12,732	38	21.6	1,640	50	100	61	.98	2	Ö	Ö
Padre (5-58)	2-28	9-11	12,593	27	29.3	2,226	52	100	68	.84	2	2	Ö
Ruby	3-4	9-11	12,097	29	26.9	2,038	57	100	60	.91	0	2	Õ
Butte	2-28	8-28	11,206	28	25.3	1,920	61	100	56	.81	2	2	
Thompson	3-1	9-11	9,043	26	22.4	1,704	64	88	52	.94	4	0	2
Carrion	2-28	9-4	8,950	23	25.0	1,900			-		•	-	-

^{(1) 100%} hull split.(2) Width/length.

Almond Variety Trials Nickels Estate, Arbuckle Accumulated Yield

	Full Bloom Dates						Yi	eld in	Pound	s Kern	el Per	Tree		1982	
	Days	Before After 1980	Nonpar	eil =	+	Nearest Pollinizer	3rd Leaf	4th Leaf	5th Leaf	6th Leaf	7th Leaf	8th Leaf	Total	Kernel Size in Gms	No./ Oz.
TRIAL NO. 1											¥.			PLANTED	1975
Jordano1o	-8	-12	-10	-6	-9.0	NePlus	-	1.81	4.22	5.38	11.12	5.00	27.5	1.58	18
NePlus Ultra	-3	- 9	-7 '	- 7	-9.0	Peer	_	1.81	4.50	5.71	8.10	7.39	27.5	1.32	21
Sonora (5A-20)	-2	-8	- 7	-6	-6.0	Peer	_	3.19	2.54	6.20	11.50	.93	24.4	1.79	16
Peerless	-2	- 6	- 5	- 6	-5. 5	NePlus	-	2.97	2.75	5.16	7.98	7.32	26.2	1.28	22
Milow	- 3	- 5	- 5	-2	-3.75	Nonp.	_	.88	3.15	1.40	6.65	3.84	15.9	.86	33
K13N	-2	-6	-2	- 5		Nonp.	-	1.16	3.26	1.45		6.33	18.5	1.12	26
Money Tree	+1	-4	+1	- 5		Nonp.	_	1.09	1.84	3.58	2.62	4.87	14.0	1.50	19
Solano (5A-3)	0	-1	0	-6		Nonp.	_	1.28	3.44	3.77		3.68	20.9	1.17	25
69-60	0	-2	+1	-3	-1.0	Nonp.	-	.98	1.72	4.06	6.75	4.78	18.3	1.22	23
Fritz	+3	-3	0	-4	-1.0	Miss.	-	2.07	4.41	5.15	12.04	4.82	28.5	1.11	26
Robson	0	0	0	-3	-7.5	Nonp.		1.61	4.75	3.31	9.94	5.44	25.1	1.17	25
Nonpareil	0	0	0	0	0	-	-	2.20	3.45	6.58	8.37	7.00	27.6	1.21	24
Vesta	0	0	0	0	0	Nonp.	-	1.17	1.95	3.79	7.09	4.64	18.6	1.36	21
Carmel	+1	+1	+1	-3	0	Nonp.	_	3.19	2.34	9.11	11.22	4.94	30.8	1.26	23
Granada	+1	0	0	0	+.25	Miss.	-	1.33	2.92	4.71	6.19	7.14	22.3	.95	30
23-122	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1.0	Nonp.	_	2.20	2.62	7.31	6.46	9.67	28.1	1.15	25
Norman	+2	+1	+1	+2	+1.5	Nonp.	_	2.15	4.31	9.09	10.95	7.23	33.8	.92	31
Harvey	+2	+2	+3	0	+1.75	Nonp.	-	1.81	4.22	6.30	7.02	3.59	22.9	1.21	24
Price	+2	+1	+3	+1	+1.75	Nonp.	-	1.40	3.05	6.51	9.98	4.59	25.5	1.14	25
Carrion	+3	+2	+1	+2	+2.0	Miss.	_	1.81	3.68	4.93	6.87	3.85	21.1	1.15	25
Butte	+3	+3	+1	+2		Miss.	_	2.45		10.64	11.63		36.9	1.08	26
Thompson	+4	+3	0	+2	+2.25	Miss.	_	2.31	5.47	6.24		6.63	28.7	1.14	25
Mission	+4	+4	+3	+3	+3.5	-	_	2.27	4.11	7.36	11.01		31.8	1.09	26

Almond Variety Trials Nickels Estate, Arbuckle Accumulated Yield

			Yi	eld in	Pound		1982							
	Before After 1980				Nearest Pollinizer	3rd Leaf		5th Leaf	6th Leaf	7th Leaf	8th Leaf	Total	Kernel Size in Gms	No./ Oz.
TRIAL NO. 2	-100									Ž.		1,000,00	PLANTED	1977
Nonpareil Mission Padre (5-58)	0 +4 +5	0 +3 +3	0 +3 +2	0 +2.5 +2.5	- - Miss.	3.10	4.38 6.64 5.40	5.52 8.50 8.01	9.88 6.70 6.98			21.3 24.9 22.1	1.14 1.09 1.05	25 26 27

Original chart prepared May, 1982 by Tom Aldrich, Cooperative Extension, Colusa County. Modified December 1982 by Dale E. Kester and Richard N. Asay.

Collaborators:

T. Aldrich, Cooperative Extension, Colusa County

D. Kester, UCD, Pomology

W. Micke, UCD Extension Specialist

Arbuckle Colusa Co. RVT Almond Trial 1982 Planted 1975

Variety	Full Bloom dates	Harvest date	Number of Nuts Per Tree	Size	Per	Estimated Yld/Acre (1bs.) @ 75 T/A	% Kernel	% Sealed		Shape Thick- ness(cm.)	% Doubles	% Rejects	% Worms
TRIAL 1													18
Group I. Early Bloom								V					
Peerless 3-10-1-65	2-19	8/24	2,781	23	7.3	549	34	100	61	.81	9	2	0
NePlus 3-7-1-65	2-18	8/24	2,601	22	7.4	554	58	79	51	.77	18	8	0
NePlus 3-7-2-63	2-18	8/24	2,474	21	7.1	535	55	92	50	.75	10	- 8	0
Peerless 3-10-2-70	2-17	8/24	2,098	21	6.2	463	33	100	61	.81	9	2	0
Jordanolo	2-18	8/27	1,474	18	5.0	375	53	71	46	.75	1.	5	4
Sonora (5A-20)	2-19	8/24	244	16	.9	70	65	43	52	.78	8	1 -	1
Group II. Mid-Season													
Nonpareil Clones:													
Nonpareil 3-8-5-72	2-25	8/17	2,741	23	7.4	558	60	73	57	.72	1,	8	1
Nonpareil 3-8-7-72	2-25	8/17	2,528	25	6.7	502	57	67	53	.71	3	6	1
Nonpareil 3-8-4-72	2-25	8/17	2,486	23	6.6	495	59	63	59	.73	1	7	1
Nonpareil 3-8-2-72	2-25	8/17	2,387	24	6.5	484	58	74	57	.72	4	11	2
Nonpareil Pollinizers	:												
23-122	2-26	8/17	3,874	25	9.7	725	50	99	61	.68	15	3	0
Norman	2-27	8/25	3,643	31	7.2	542	58	44	60	.75	0	7	1
Granada	2-25	8/17	3,374	30	7.1	536	53	100	70	.80	11	4	0
K-13N	2-21	8/17	2,598	26	6.3	475	55	17	63	.68	0	9	3
Robson	2-21	8/17	2,157	25	5.4	408	48	91	56	.81	0	7	0

Arbuckle Colusa Co. RVT Almond Trial 1982 Planted 1975

<u>Variety</u>	Full Bloom	Harvest date	Number of Nuts Per Tree	Average Kernel Size (no/oz)	Per	Estimated Yld/Acre (1bs.) @ 75 T/A	% Kernel	% Sealed		Shape Thick- ness(cm.)	% Doubles	% Rejects	% Worms
Nonpareil Pollinizers	: (con	tinued)						17.					
Milow Fritz Price 69-60 Carmel Vesta	2-22 2-20 2-25 2-21 2-21 2-24	8/17 8/29 8/17 8/17 9/2 8/17	2,025 1,984 1,912 1,782 1,770 1,532	33 26 25 23 23 21	3.8 4.8 4.6 4.8 4.9	288 382 344 359 371 348	63 48 58 47 51 48	92 52 76 99 93 68	60 59 56 64 51	.73 .85 .83 .75 .79	0 3 1 1 1	4 7 9 11 1 8	1 1 0 3 0
Money Tree Solano (5A-3) Harvey	2-19 2-18 2-24	8/25 8/17 9/2	1,451 1,439 1,358	19 24 24	4.8 3.7 3.6	365 276 269	65 55 63	5 92 15	52 55 59	.79 .77 .83	4 0 4	2 0 2	0 0 0
Group III. Late Bloom	<u>om</u>										*		
Mission 3-6-5-67 Mission 3-6-1-65 Mission 3-6-2-65	2-27 2-27 2-27	9/10 9/10 9/10	2,978 2,932 2,552	26 27 26	7.1 6.7 6.0	539 501 449	43 41 41	100 100 100	66 66 67	.93 .91 .91	5 3 4	1 1 1	0 0 0
Mission Pollinizers:													
Butte Thompson Carrion	2-26 2-26 2-26	9/2 8/25 9/2	2,950 2,691 1,547	26 25 25	7.1 6.6 3.9	533 497 289	48 58 53	87 75 67	64 60 58	.85 .85 .88	1 3	3 1 7	1 0 0
TRIAL 2													
Nonpareil 3-8-5-67 Mission 3-6-2-70 Padre (5-58)	2-24 2-27 2-26	8/17 9/10 8/29	3,440 3,205 2,756	25 26 27	8.7 7.6 7.0	654 572 524	61 41 44	81 100 100	56 68 63	.77 .91 .90	1 5 0	10 0 0	2 0 0

Collaborators: R. Baldie, CSU

D. Jacobson, CSU

D. Kester, UCD

W. Micke, Coop. Ext., UCD

J. Connell, Coop. Ext.,

Chico RVT Almond Trial 1982 Planted 1976

Variety	Full Bloom dates	Harvest date	Number of Nuts Per Tree	Average Kernel Size (no/oz)	Lbs. Per Tree	Estimated Yld/Acre (lbs.) @ 75 T/A	% Kernel	% Sealed	W/L	Shape Thickness	% Doubles	% Rejects	% Worms
Group I.	Early Blo	om						1					
NePlus Ult: 3-7-1-63 Sonora (5A- Jordanolo Group II.	2-27 -20) 2-26 2-19 Mid-Seas	9-25 9-25 9-25 on	8,357 5,215 4,993	21 18 18	25.4 18.2 17.4	1,907 1,366 1,308	88 68 62	55 44 84	43 49 43	.81 .81 .83	28 0 4	20 8 24	4 8 20
" 3-8-4-72 " 3-8-2-70 " 3-8-5-72	3-1	9-5 9-5 9-5	6,294 5,976 5,707	21 20 20	18.9 18.2 18.1	1,419 1,367 1,354	65 66 67	32 30 23	55 53 54	.78 .81 .79	2 . 3 3	8 13 13	4 9 10
" 3-8-7-72		9-5	5,642	21	17.2	1,291	67	24	54	.79	2	8	6
Nonpareil 1	Pollinize	rs:											
Carmel Merced 23-122 Harvey Solano (5A- Norman Fritz Milow Robson	3-3 3-3 3-1 3-4 -3) 3-1 3-3 3-1 3-1	9-25 9-25 9-25 9-25 9-25 9-25 10-11 9-12 9-25	9,677 7,557 6,921 6,204 5,953 5,654 5,524 4,893 4,700	20 22 23 25 24 25 23 29 21	29.5 21.9 18.6 15.7 15.8 13.9 15.2 10.5 14.0	2,214 1,644 1,391 1,178 1,181 1,040 1,140 780 1,054	58 63 51 63 65 66 49 69	84 80 100 76 72 32 60 60 52	49 60 66 57 52 59 57 55	.87 .80 .80 .85 .79 .80 .94 .72	8 4 28 12 0 0 4 4 4	4 20 4 12 4 8 0 4	4 20 0 8 4 8 0 4

Chico RVT Almond Trial 1982 Planted 1976

Variety	Full Bloom dates	Harvest date		Average Kernel Size (no/oz)	Lbs.	Estimated Yld/Acre (1bs.) @ 75 T/A	% Kernel	% Sealed	W/L	Shape Thickness	% Doubles	% Rejects	% Worms
Nonpareil P	ollinize	rs: (cont	inued)										
Vesta Price K-13N	3-1 2-28 2-27	9-25 9-25 9-25	4,289 4,171 4,101	21 22 22	12.7 11.6 11.6	950 872 868	57 60 59	56 64 40	52 48 60	.81 .88 .67	0 36 0	32 4 16	32 4 16
Group III.	Late Bl	oom									*		
Mission Clo	nes:												
" 3-6-1-65 " 3-6-2-71 " 3-6-5-67	3-6 3-6 3-5	10-11 10-11 10-11	9,610 8,564 8,245	26 26 25	23.1 20.5 20.3	1,733 1,534 1,523	43 43 43	100 100 100	67 67 65	1.09 1.09 1.13	8 10 16	0 0 0	0 0 0
Mission Pol	linizers	:											
Butte Padre Thompson Ripon Carrion Granada	3-4 3-6 3-6 3-12 3-3 3-1	9-25 9-25 9-25 9-12 9-25 9-25	10,929 10,451 9,237 7,651 6,741 2,519	25 29 27 28 21 26	27.4 22.8 21.8 17.2 20.2 6.1	2,053 1,707 1,631 1,291 1,516 457	55 48 55 44 63 54	60 100 92 100 60 68	57 61 56 61 52 72	. 92 . 93 . 85 . 78 . 95 . 88	4 8 8 0 12 28	12 0 0 8 12 4	8 0 0 0 8 0

Collaborators:

D. Rough, Cooperative Extension San Joaquin County

D. Kester, UCD, Pomology

W. Micke, UCD, Extension Specialist

D. Diaz, Delta College

Delta College RVT Almond Trial 1982 Planted 1978

Variety	Number of Nuts Per Tree	Average Kernel Size (no/oz)	Lbs. Per Tree	Estimated Yld/Acre (1bs.) @ 76 T/A	% Kernel	% Sealed	Shape W/L	Thick- ness	% Doubles	% Rejects	% Worms
Group I. Early Bloom	<u>1</u>										
Sonora NePlus Ultra 2-70 NePlus Ultra 1-63 Peerless Jordanolo	4649 4080 3825 3180 2716	21 21 22 23 17	13.6 12.4 10.7 8.8 10.1	1,037 943 812 671 770	73 62 61 41 65	32 84 88 100 68	44 45 42 58 43	.85 .84 .85 .90	12 16 16 12 0	0 4 0 0 20	0 0 0 0 8
Group II. Mid-Bloom								i,			
Nonpareil 3-8-4-72 Nonpareil 3-8-7-72 Nonpareil commercial Nonpareil commercial Nonpareil 3-8-5-72 Nonpareil 3-8-2-70	5255 5226 5146 4653 4416 3648	25 26 23 23 24 23	13.4 12.7 14.1 12.3 11.5 9.5	1,015 963 1,068 938 877 720	64 68 68 69 69	46 47 47 68 57 55	53 51 52 52 52 52 52	.82 .82 .84 .82 .81	1 3 1 1 3 1	11 15 8 20 17 8	1 4 2 0 3 1
Nonpareil Pollinizers	3										
Sauret No. 1 Fritz Merced Monterrey Solano Carmel Price Sauret No. 2 Monarch Grace (1)	8726 6738 6101 5792 5263 4949 4201 3893 3432 873	26 28 22 21 28 20 25 24 25 31	20.7 15.4 17.0 17.1 11.6 15.2 10.3 10.3 8.7	1,573 1,173 1,296 1,300 882 1,157 783 785 658 132	65 55 72 50 64 65 64 59 52	72 64 44 96 76 48 44 84 100 88	62 53 57 47 47 49 51 42 51	.94 .90 .98 .93 .78 .93 .88 .88	0 0 8 12 0 8 24 4 4	0 0 12 0 0 0 0 0 4 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 4 0

Delta College RVT Almond Trial 1982 Planted 1978

Variety	Number of Nuts Per Tree	Average Kernel Size (no/oz)	Lbs. Per Tree	Estimated Yld/Acre (1bs.) @ 76 T/A	% Kernel	% Sealed	Shap W/L	e (cm.) Thick- ness	% Doubles	% Rejects	% Worms
Group III. Late Bloo	om										
Mission 3-6-1-65 Mission commercial " 3-6-5-67 " 3-6-2-70 " commercial " commercial	4914 4870 4157 4144 3843 3154	24 24 23 22 24 23	12.7 12.5 11.2 11.6 10.1 8.7	968 950 847 883 768 662	48 50 48 52 51 58	100 100 100 100 96 80	65 61 65 62 61 64	1.03 .98 1.03 .97 1.00	8 8 8 4 8 4	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0
Mission Pollinizers:											
Butte Livingston Padre Thompson Ruby	7517 6149 5550 5370 4267	27 23 25 24 22	17.2 16.4 13.6 14.1 12.3	1,309 1,245 1,037 1,069 938	60 68 55 64 59	60 56 100 56 88	58 51 61 56 66	.97 .88 .96 .88	12 4 0 4 0	0 4 0 0 4	0 0 0 0
Mono Yosemite Tokyo Group IV. Very Late	5394 4941 2789	25 29 20	13.7 10.6 8.9	1,037 805 673	51 58 55	100 48 32	53 60 58	.84 .90 .87	4 4 0	0 0 0	0 0 0
Planada Ripon	2257 2075	20 26	7.1 8.0	541 380	56 51	100 100	55 56	.84 .86	0 0	20 8	0 0
Group V. Self-Ferti LeGrand	<u>1e</u> 5996	22	16.9	1,282	65	48	60	.99	4	0	0